arXiv:1404.5038v2 [hep-ph] 2 Oct 2015

Signal of doubly charged Higgs at e^+e^- colliders

L. T. Hue,[1] D.T. Huong,[2] H.N. Long,[2] H.T. Hung,[3] and N.H. Thao[3]

[1]*Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Vietnam*

[2]*Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Vietnam*

[3]*Department of Physics, Hanoi University of Education 2, Phuc Yen, Vinh Phuc, Vietnam*

Abstract

Masses and signals of the production of Doubly charged Higgses (DCH) in the framework of the supersymmetric reduced minimal 3-3-1 model (SUSYRM331) are investigated. In the DCH sector, we prove that there always exists a region of the parameter space where the mass of the lightest DCH is in order of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV even when all other new particles are very heavy. The lightest DCH mainly decays to two same-sign leptons while the dominated decay channels of the heavy DCHs are those decay to heavy particles. We analyze each production cross section for $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ as a function of a few kinematic variables, which are useful to discuss the creation of the DCHs in the e^+e^- colliders as a signal of new physics beyond the Standard Model. The numerical study shows that the cross sections for creating the lightest DCH can reach values of few pbs. The two other DCHs are too heavy, beyond the observable range of experiments. The lightest DCH may be detected by the International Linear Collider (ILC) or the Compact LInear Collider (CLIC) by searching its decay to a same-sign charged lepton pair.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ex, 12.60.Fr, 13.66.Fg

I. INTRODUCTION

The detection of the Higgs boson with mass around 125 GeV by experiments at the large hadron collider (LHC) [1–4] has again confirmed the success of the Standard Model (SM). However, this model needs to be extended to cover other problems which cannot be explained in this framework, specially small neutrino mass and mixing, dark matter, asymmetry of matter and antimatter... Theories that lie beyond the SM not only solve the SM problems but also predict the signals of new physics which can be searched in the future. Many well-known models beyond the SM have Higgs spectrum containing the DCHs, for example the left-right model [5–7], the Zee-Babu model [8, 9], the 3-3-1 models [10–13],... and their supersymmetric versions [14–21]. The appearance of the DCHs will really be one of the signals of new physics. Hence, there have been numbers of publications predicting this signal in colliders such as the LHC, ILC [22, 23] and CLIC [24, 25]. Recent experimental searches for the DCHs have been doing at the LHC [26–29], through the decays of them into a pair of same-sign charged leptons. This decay channel has been investigated in many above models: the left-right symmetric model [30, 31] and the supersymmetric version [32], the 3-3-1 models [33, 34]. On the other hand, some other SM extensions including Higgs triplets were shown that the DCHs may have main decay channels of $H^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ [35, 36], or $H^{\pm}W^{\pm}$[37], leading to the lower bounds of DCH masses than those concerned from searching the DCH decay into leptons. It is noted that the Higgs sectors in the supersymmetric (SUSY) models seem to be very interesting because they do not contain unknown self- couplings of four Higgses in the superpotential, unlike the case of non-SUSY models where this kind of couplings directly contribute to Higgs masses. As a consequence, some Higgses will get masses mainly from the D-term, namely from the electroweak breaking scale, leading to values of Higgs masses being in order of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV at the tree level. This happens in SUSY models such as the MSSM, supersymmetric versions of the economical 3-3-1 (SUSYE331) and reduced minimal 3-3-1 (SUSYRM331) models [20, 21, 38]. It was shown that there is at least one neutral CP-even Higgs inheriting a tree-level mass below the mass of Z boson, $m_Z = 92$ GeV. Fortunately, the loop-correction contributions increase the full mass of this Higgs up to the recent experimental value. This suggests that some other Higgses may be light with masses order of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV. In the MSSM, this cannot happen if soft parameters such as b_μ term relating with mass of the neutral CP-odd Higgs are large. Other SUSY

versions, such as the 3-3-1 models, are different because of the appearance of the $SU(3)_L$ scale apart from the SUSY scale. For the SUSYE331, the parameters characterizing these two scales may cancel each other to create light mass of the lightest singly charged Higgs [38]. In this work, we will investigate the DCHs in the SUSYRM331 and prove that there may exist a light DCH, even if both soft and $SU(3)_L$ parameters are very large. Apart from inheriting the lepton number two, this light DCH is also lighter than almost of new particles in the model, therefore will decay mainly to same-sign lepton pair. So the possibility of detection the lightest DCH will increase at colliders such as the LHC, ILC and CLIC. In the left-right symmetric model, the cross sections for the DCH creation at the LHC are predicted below 5 fb for mass values being more than 200 GeV. In the SUSY left-right model they are estimated below 10 fb with collision energy is 14 TeV at LHC [30] and the mass of DCH is smaller than 450 GeV. The cross sections for the DCH creation will decrease if their masses increase. In the framework of the 3-3-1 model, the cross sections for creating DCHs can reach the value smaller than 10^2 fb in e^+e^- colliders [33, 34]. Our work will concentrate on the signals of detection DCHs at the ILC and CLIC because of their very high precision. In addition the collision energies of the ILC and CLIC are smaller than that of the LHC but the total cross sections for creating the DCHs at the ILC and CLIC are larger than those at the LHC.

Let us remind the reason for studying the 3-3-1 models. The 3-3-1 models not only contain the great success of the SM but also can solve many problems of the SM. In particularly the 3-3-1 models can provide the neutrino small masses as well as candidates for the DM [39, 40]. The decays of some new particles can solve the matter-antimatter asymmetry via leptogenesis mechanisms [41–43]. The 3-3-1 models can connect to the cosmological inflation [41–43]. In addition the 3-3-1 models [10, 11, 13, 44–49] have many intriguing properties. In order to make the models anomaly free, one of the quark families must transform under $SU(3)_L$ differently from other two. This leads to a consequence that the number of fermion generations has to be multiple of the color number which is three. In combination with the QCD asymptotic freedom requiring the number of quark generations must be less than five, the solution is exactly three for the number of fermion generations as required. Furthermore, the 3-3-1 models give a good explanation of the electric charge quantization [50–54].

It is to be noted that the unique disadvantage of the 3-3-1 models is the complication in the Higgs sector, which reduces their predictability. Recently, there have been some efforts

to reduce the Higgs contents of the models. The first successful attempt was to the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos [44–49] giving the model with just two Higgs triplets. The model is called by the economical 3-3-1 model [55–57]. The similar version to the minimal 3-3-1 model with Higgs sector containing three triplets and one sextet is the reduced minimal 3-3-1 model with again just two Higgs triplets [12, 58]. However, to give masses to all fermions in the 3-3-1 models with the minimal Higgs sector, ones have to introduce the effective couplings which are non-renormalizable. On the other hand, by investigating the one-loop β-function in the minimal 3-3-1 model and its supersymmetric version predict the existence of Landau poles that make these theories lose the perturbative character. In order to solve this problem, the cut-off $\Lambda \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV should be implied [59, 60]. For the non-suppersymmetric version, the upper bound of $\Lambda < 5$ TeV seems inconsistent with recent data of precision tests [61, 62]. As a solution to this problem, the SUSY version predicts a less restrict upper bound. And the ρ parameter, one of the most important parameters for checking the precision test at low energy [63, 64], still satisfies the current data if SUSY contributions are considered [65]. Anyway, discussions for non-SUSY version predicts that the valid scale of the SUSYRM331 should be large, resulting the very heavy masses of the new particles, except a light neutral CP-even Higgs and maybe the lightest DCH. Therefore, apart from the light neutral Higgs which can be identified with the one observed at LHC recently, the lightest DCH is the only one which may be observed by recent experiments.

Once again we would like to emphasize that the RM331 model contains the minimal number of Higgses, the first way to generate consistent masses for fermions is introducing the effective operators working at the TeV scale [21, 66]. Besides that, in the SUSY versions the fermion masses can be generated by including the radiative corrections through the mixing of fermions and their superpartners [20, 67, 68]. Of course, in this case the well-known R parity has to be broken. Based on these results, many supersymmetric versions have been built and studied such as SUSYE331 [69–72], SUSYRM331 [20, 21],... One of the intriguing features of supersymmetric theories is that the Higgs spectrum is quite constrained.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section II, we will briefly review the SUSYRM331 model, specially concentrate on the Higgs, gauge boson sectors and their effect to the ρ parameter, which may indirectly affect to the lower bound of the $SU(3)_L$ scale. Furthermore, some important and interesting properties of the SUSYRM331 are discussed, for example: (i) the soft and the $\mathrm{SU(3)_L}$ parameters should be in the same order; (ii) the model contains

a light neutral CP-even Higgs with the values of the squared tree-level mass of $m_Z^2|\cos 2\gamma| + m_W^2 \times \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Here γ is defined as ratio of two vacuum expectation of two Higgses ρ and ρ'. While ϵ is defined as a quantity characterizing the ratio of the electroweak and $\mathrm{SU(3)_L}$ scales. Section III is devoted for investigation in details the masses and other properties of the DCHs. We will discuss the constraint of the DCH masses under the recent experimental value of the decay of the lightest CP-even neutral Higgs to two photons. From this we prove that there exists a region of parameter space containing a light DCH. In Section IV we discuss on the creation of DCHs in the e^+e^- colliders such as the ILC and CLIC. Specifically, we establish formulas of the cross sections for reactions $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ in collision energies from 1 to 3 TeV and calculate the number of events for the DCH creation. These cross sections and the Higgs masses are represented as functions of very convenient parameters such as masses of neutral CP-odd Higgses, mass of the heavy singly charged gauge boson, $\tan\gamma$ and $\tan\beta$ as ratios of Higgs vacuum expectation values (VEV),which will be defined in the work. This will help one more easily predict many properties relating to the DCHs as well as relations among the masses of particles in the model. With each collision energy level of 1.5, 2 and 3 TeV, we discuss on the parameter space where the masses of three DCHs can satisfy the allowed kinetic condition, namely the mass of each DCH must be smaller than half of the collision energy. Then we estimate the amplitudes of the cross sections in these regions of parameter space. Finally, the branching ratios of the DCHs decay to pairs of the same-sign leptons are briefly discussed.

II. REVIEW OF THE SUSYRM331 MODEL

This work bases on the models represented in [20, 21]. For convenience, we summarize important results which will be used in our calculation. Through the work we will use the notation of two-component spinor for fermions, where ψ denotes for a particle and ψ^c denotes the corresponding anti-particle. Both ψ and ψ^c are left-handed spinors. In case of the Majorana fields, where $\psi = \psi^c$, we will use ψ notation.

A. Lepton and quark sectors

The lepton sector is arranged based on the original non-supersymmetric version [13], namely

$$\hat{L}_l = \left(\hat{\nu},\ \hat{l},\ \hat{l}^c \right)^T \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 0),\ \ l = e, \mu, \tau. \tag{1}$$

In parentheses it appears the transformation properties under the respective factors $(\mathrm{SU}(3)_C$, $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L,\ \mathrm{U}(1)_X)$.

In the quark sector, the first quark family is put in a superfield which transforms as a triplet of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ group,

$$\hat{Q}_{1L} = \left(\hat{u}_1,\ \hat{d}_1,\ \hat{J}_1 \right) \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right). \tag{2}$$

Three respective anti-quark superfields are singlets of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ group,

$$\hat{u}_1^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{2}{3} \right), \quad \hat{d}_1^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad \hat{J}_1^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{5}{3} \right). \tag{3}$$

The remaining two quark families are included in two corresponding superfields transforming as antitriplets,

$$\hat{Q}_{iL} = \left(\hat{d}_i,\ -\hat{u}_i\ \hat{j}_i \right)^T \sim \left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*, -\frac{1}{3} \right),\ i = 2, 3. \tag{4}$$

and the respective anti-quark superfields are singlets,

$$\hat{u}_i^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, -\frac{2}{3} \right), \quad \hat{d}_i^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad \hat{j}_i^c \sim \left(\mathbf{3}^*, \mathbf{1}, \frac{4}{3} \right), i = 2, 3. \tag{5}$$

The SUSYRM331 needs four Higgs superfileds in order to generate all masses of leptons and quarks, but radiative corrections [20] or effective operators [21] must be added. For convenience in investigating the couplings between leptons and DCHs, in this work we will use the effective approach.

B. Gauge bosons and lepton-lepton-gauge boson vertices

The gauge boson sector of the SUSYRM331 model was thoroughly investigated in [20, 21] and this sector is similar to that of the non-SUSY version [12]. According to these works, the gauge sector includes three neutral gauge bosons ($A,\ Z,\ Z'$), four singly charged ($W^{\pm},\ V^{\pm}$) and two doubly charged $U^{\pm\pm}$ gauge bosons. Among them, $A,\ Z$ and $W^{\pm}$ are SM particles

while the remain are $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ particles with masses being at the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ scale. The new charged gauge bosons $V^{\pm}$ and $U^{\pm\pm}$ carry the lepton numbers two, hence they are also called by bilepton. According analysis in [73], mass of the charged bilepton U is always less than $0.5m_{Z'}$. Therefore, we expect that the decays $Z' \longrightarrow U^{++}U^{--}$ and $U^{\pm\pm} \longrightarrow 2l^{\pm}(l = e, \mu, \tau)$ are allowed, leading to spectacular signals in the future colliders. The DCHs also are bilepton, leading to a very interesting consequence: the lightest DCH may be the lightest bilepton, it only decays to a charged lepton pair. This is exactly the case happening in the SUSYRM331, as we will prove through this work. All masses of the of gauge bosons can be written as functions of W and V gauge boson masses. There is a simple relation between m_W, m_V and m_U, namely $m_U^2 = m_W^2 + m_V^2$ which will be summarized in the Higgs sector. Therefore, we can define m_V as a parameter characterized for the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ scale. Recently, the studies of flavor neutral changing current processes and the muon anomalous magnetic moment in the Reduced Minimal 3-3-1 Model [74, 75] have set the below limits of m_V, namely $m_V \geq 650$ and 910 GeV, respectively.

The vertex of ffV, which is very important to studying the creation of DCHs in the e^+e^- colliders, is represented in the Lagrangian shown in [12, 20, 21, 58], namely

$$\mathcal{L}_{ffV} = g\bar{L}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\frac{\lambda^a}{2}LV_{\mu}^{a}. \tag{6}$$

The relations between mass and original states of neutral gauge bosons are given as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_{3\mu} \\ W_{8\mu} \\ B_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{B}} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\mu} \\ Z_{\mu} \\ Z'_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{\sqrt{2(2t^2+3)}}, & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(c_{\zeta} + \frac{s_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right), & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-s_{\zeta} + \frac{c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right) \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}t}{\sqrt{2(2t^2+3)}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{\zeta} - \frac{3s_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right), & -\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\zeta} + \frac{3c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right) \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}s_{\zeta}t}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}c_{\zeta}t}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\mu} \\ Z_{\mu} \\ Z'_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Here $c_{\zeta} \equiv \cos\zeta > 0$, $s_{\zeta} \equiv \sin\zeta > 0$ with ζ satisfying

$$\tan 2\zeta \equiv \frac{\sqrt{(3+2t^2)}(m_V^2 - m_W^2)}{(1+t^2)(m_V^2 + m_W^2)}. \tag{8}$$

The parameter t is the ratio between g' and g, namely

$$t \equiv \frac{g'}{g} = \sqrt{\frac{6\sin^2\theta_W}{1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W}}. \tag{9}$$

Masses of gauge bosons are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_\gamma &= 0, \\ m_Z^2 &= \frac{t^2+2}{3}\left(m_U^2 - \sqrt{m_U^4 - \frac{4(2t^2+3)}{(t^2+2)^2}m_V^2 m_W^2}\right), \\ m_{Z'}^2 &= \frac{t^2+2}{3}\left(m_U^2 + \sqrt{m_U^4 - \frac{4(2t^2+3)}{(t^2+2)^2}m_V^2 m_W^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The $Z - Z'$ mixing angle in the framework of the RM331 model is quite small $|\phi| < 10^{-3}$ [58]. It is interesting to note that, due to the generation discrimination in the 3-3-1 models, the new neutral gauge boson Z' has the flavor changing neutral current [76–78].

Above analysis is enough to calculate vertex factors of charged leptons with neutral gauge bosons, as shown explicitly in Table I. Here we only concentrate on the largest vertex couplings by assuming that the flavor basis of leptons and quarks is the mass basis.

C. Constraint from ρ parameter

The above analysis shows that the structure of the neutral gauge bosons is the same as that of the RM331 model when all mixing and mass parameters of these bosons are written in term of the charged gauge boson masses. So the contributions of new heavy gauge bosons to the ρ parameter from the $SU(3)_L$ charged gauge bosons are given in [79, 80]. They also relate to the T parameter through the equality $\Delta\rho \equiv \rho - 1 \simeq \widehat{\alpha}(m_Z)T$, where $\widehat{\alpha}(m_Z)$ is the fine structure constant defined in the minimal scheme ($\overline{MS}$) at the m_Z scale [81]. The problem is that all of these contributions are always positive so the total always increase the value of $\Delta\rho$ larger than the current experimental upper bound, unless the $SU(3)_L$ scale is larger than 9 TeV [62].

Because the new quarks are $SU(2)_L$ singlets, they do not contribute to the ρ parameters. The other contributions arise from Higgses and SUSY particles including Higgsinos, gauginos and superpartners of the fermions. Being the functions of SUSY parameters they are completely independent on the $SU(3)_L$ scale. The contributions of the DCHs are from only couplings [65]

$$ic\phi_1^*\partial_\mu\phi_2 V^\mu + h.c \quad (V = W, Z) \tag{11}$$

of two charged Higgses ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. According to the table VI, there are only non-zero vertices of $\chi^{++}W^-H_2^-$ and $\chi'^{++}W^-H_2^-$ relating with DCHs. Because $\chi^{\pm\pm}$ and $\chi'^{\pm\pm}$ contribute to

$\bar{f}fV_\mu$	A_μ	Z_μ	Z'_μ
ν_e	0	$\frac{igc_\zeta}{\sqrt{3}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{-igs_\zeta}{\sqrt{3}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
e	$-ie$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
e^c	ie	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta-\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta+\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
u	$\frac{i2e}{3}$	$\frac{ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta-\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{-ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta+\frac{2t^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
u^c	$-\frac{i2e}{3}$	$\frac{2igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{2igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
d	$-\frac{ie}{3}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{(4t^2+9)s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{(4t^2+9)c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
d^c	$\frac{ie}{3}$	$-\frac{igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$-\frac{igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
J_1	$\frac{5ie}{3}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{(4t^2-9)s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta+\frac{(4t^2-9)c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
J_1^c	$-\frac{5ie}{3}$	$\frac{5igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{5igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$c,\ t$	$\frac{i2e}{3}$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{(2t^2+9)s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{-ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{(2t^2+9)c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$c^c,\ t^c$	$-\frac{i2e}{3}$	$\frac{2igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{2igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$s,\ b$	$-\frac{ie}{3}$	$-\frac{ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{t^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$\frac{ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{4t^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$s^c,\ b^c$	$\frac{ie}{3}$	$-\frac{igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$-\frac{igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$j_1,\ j_2$	$-\frac{4ie}{3}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(c_\zeta+\frac{(2t^2-9)s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{(2t^2-9)c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\bar{\sigma}^\mu$
$j_1^c,\ j_2^c$	$\frac{4ie}{3}$	$-\frac{4igt^2s_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$	$-\frac{4igt^2c_\zeta}{3\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\bar{\sigma}^\mu$

TABLE I: Vertex factors between leptons, quarks and neutral gauge bosons. Note that $e = g\sin\theta_W$.

mainly to $H_1^{\pm\pm}$ and the goldstone of $U^\pm$ boson, they mix with other two DCHs with very small factors of orders being smaller than $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_W^3}{m_V^3}\right)$. In addition, the kind of the interactions given in (11) with two identical DCHs gives zero contribution to the ρ parameter [65]. So the total contribution of the physical DCHs to the ρ parameter is very suppressed.

Because the Higgs triplets ρ and ρ' break $SU(2)_L$ symmetry, they will give main contributions to the couplings of singly and neutral Higgses to normal gauge bosons and therefore may affect significantly to the ρ parameter. This is very similar to the case of the MSSM. In fact, the SUSYRM331 contains two CP-even neutral Higgses and two singly charged Higgses $H_1^\pm$ behaving the same as those in the MSSM, especially in the large limit of the $SU(3)_L$ and soft SUSY breaking scales, which is exactly the valid condition of the SUSYRM331. So the total contribution to the ρ parameter of these SUSYRM331 Higgses is nearly the

same as what found in the MSSM. In general, the contributions to the ρ parameter obtained from the investigation for MSSM can also be used for the SUSY331 version [65]. The most important results are: i) all unexpected positive contributions decrease rapidly to zero when the overall sparticle mass scale is large enough, ii) the negative contribution from the Higgs scalars can reach the absolute values of 10^{-4}, which is the order of the recent sensitive experimental value of the ρ parameter. In the SUSYRM331 framework, the total positive SUSY contribution can be set to the order of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$, because the soft parameters are at least in the order of the $SU(3)_L$ scale, i.e the TeV scale. While the total contribution from Higgs scalar is completely different. It has negative value when the masses of CP-odd neutral Higgses are very large and the lightest CP-even neutral Higgs reaches the largest value of $M_Z|\cos 2\beta|$ at the tree level [98][65, 82]. Then, the contribution from the Higgs sector is

$$\Delta\rho_{\rm H}^{\rm susy} = \frac{3\alpha}{16\pi^2 \sin^2\theta_W} f_H(\cos^2 2\beta, \theta_W), \tag{12}$$

where

$$f_H(x,\theta_W) \equiv x\left(\frac{\ln\left(\cos^2\theta_W/x\right)}{\cos^2\theta_W - x} + \frac{\ln x}{\cos^2\theta_W(1-x)}\right).$$

In the following we will show that the negative $\Delta\rho_{\rm H}^{\rm susy}$ can cancel the new positive contributions arising from the SUSY and 3-3-1 properties. The total deviation of the ρ parameter can be divided into three parts,

$$\Delta\rho^{\rm susy} = \widehat{\alpha}(m_Z)T_{\rm min} + \Delta\rho_{\rm H}^{\rm susy} + \Delta\rho'^{\rm susy}, \tag{13}$$

where $\Delta\rho'^{\rm susy}$ is the total positive contribution of the Higgsino, gaugino and sfermion particles; $T_{\rm min}$ is the contribution from the minimal 331 framework to the oblique T parameter[79, 80],

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\rm min} &= \frac{3\sqrt{2}G_F}{16\pi^2\widehat{\alpha}(m_Z)}\left[m_U^2 + m_V^2 - \frac{2m_U^2 m_V^2}{m_U^2 - m_V^2}\ln\frac{m_U^2}{m_V^2}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi\sin^2\theta_W}\left[2 - \frac{m_U^2 m_V^2}{m_U^2 - m_V^2}\ln\frac{m_U^2}{m_V^2} + 3\tan^2\theta_W \ln\frac{m_U^2}{m_V^2}\right] + \frac{m_Z^2 - m_{Z_0}^2}{\widehat{\alpha}(m_Z)m_Z^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $m_Z, m_{Z'}, m_U$ and m_V are the masses of gauge bosons predicted by the SUSYRM331. All of the experimental values are given in [81], namely $m_{Z_0} = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021$ GeV, $m_W = 80.385 \pm 0.015$ GeV, $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23126$, $G_F = 1.1663878(6) \times 10^{-5}\text{GeV}^{-2}$, and $\widehat{\alpha}^{-1}(m_Z) = 127.940 \pm 0.014$. Also, the experimental constraint of new physics to $\Delta\rho$ is $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \leq \Delta\rho \leq 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$ [81]. The $\Delta\rho^{\rm susy}$ now is the function of $|\cos 2\beta|$, $\Delta\rho'^{\rm susy}$ and

the $SU(3)_L$ scale $u = \sqrt{w^2 + w'^2}$. With the discovery of the neutral CP-even Higgs with mass of 125 GeV, the β should satisfies $|\cos 2\beta| \to 1$. The numerical result of $\Delta\rho^{\rm susy}$ is shown in the figure 1, where the lower bound of $u \geq 5$ TeV is allowed.



FIG. 1: Contour plot of $\Delta\rho^{\rm susy}$ as function of $\Delta\rho'^{\rm susy}$ and $SU(3)_L$ scale u. The green region satisfies $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \leq \Delta\rho^{\rm susy} \leq 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

Finally, what we stress here is that the sum of the respective negative and positive contributions from $\Delta\rho_H^{\rm susy}$ and $\Delta\rho'^{\rm susy}$ is enough to keep the value of the ρ parameter in the allowed constraint. Therefore, being different from the non-SUSY version, in the SUSY view the $SU(3)_L$ scale is free from the constraint of the ρ parameter.

On the other hand, the $SU(3)_L$ scale is constrained by investigating the Z' boson. According to (10) we get

$$m_{Z'} \simeq \frac{2m_V c_W}{\sqrt{3(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)}}$$

in the limit of $u \gg v, v'$.

In the frame work of the minimal 3-3-1 models, the investigation of the LEP-II constraints on $m_{Z'}$ [61] as well as the $B_d \to K^*\mu\mu$ data at LHC indicated that the lower bounds of $m_{Z'}$ must be above 7 TeV [83–85]. In addition, the above discussion suggests that the Z' boson in the SUSYRM331 model behaves similarly to the one in the non-SUSY version at the tree level. Combining with the constraint of $m_{Z'}$ in order to avoid the Landau pole, the SUSYRM331 model predicts the most interesting range of $m_{Z'}$ is 7 TeV $\leq m_{Z'} \leq$ 9 TeV, leading to 2 TeV $\leq m_V \leq$ 3 TeV.

D. Higgs sector

The scalar superfields, which are necessary to generate the fermion masses, are

$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\rho}^{+} \\ \hat{\rho}^{0} \\ \hat{\rho}^{++} \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, +1), \quad \hat{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\chi}^{-} \\ \hat{\chi}^{--} \\ \hat{\chi}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, -1). \tag{15}$$

To remove chiral anomalies generated by the superpartners of the scalars, two new scalar superfields are introduced to transform as anti-triplets under the $SU(3)_L$, namely

$$\hat{\rho}' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\rho}'^{-} \\ \hat{\rho}'^{0} \\ \hat{\rho}'^{--} \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}^{*}, -1), \quad \hat{\chi}' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\chi}'^{+} \\ \hat{\chi}'^{++} \\ \hat{\chi}'^{0} \end{pmatrix} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}^{*}, +1). \tag{16}$$

The pattern of the symmetry breaking of the model is given by the following scheme (using the notation given at [86])

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SUSY RM 3-3-1} \overset{\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}}{\longmapsto} & \ \text{SU(3)}_C \otimes \text{SU(3)}_L \otimes \text{U(1)}_X \\ \overset{\langle\chi\rangle\langle\chi'\rangle}{\longmapsto} & \ \text{SU(3)}_C \otimes \text{SU(2)}_L \otimes \text{U(1)}_Y \\ \overset{\langle\rho\rangle\langle\rho'\rangle}{\longmapsto} & \ \text{SU(3)}_C \otimes \text{U(1)}_Q. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For the sake of simplicity, all vacuum expectation values (VEVs) are supposed to be real. When the 3-3-1 symmetry is broken, i.e, $\text{SU(3)}_C \otimes \text{U(1)}_Q$, VEVs of the scalar fields are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\rho\rangle &= \left(0,\ \tfrac{v}{\sqrt{2}},\ 0\right)^T, \quad \langle\chi\rangle = \left(0,\ 0,\ \tfrac{w}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^T, \\ \langle\rho'\rangle &= \left(0,\ \tfrac{v'}{\sqrt{2}},\ 0\right)^T, \quad \langle\chi'\rangle = \left(0,\ 0,\ \tfrac{w'}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^T. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Because the symmetry breaking happens through steps given in (17), the VEVs have to satisfy the condition $w,\ w' \gg v,\ v'$. The constraint on the W bosons mass leads to the consequence that

$$V^2 \equiv v^2 + v'^2 = (246\ \text{GeV})^2. \tag{19}$$

E. Higgs spectrum

As usual, the scalar Higgs potential is written as in [20], except V_{soft} which is added b-type terms [21] to guarantee the vacuum stability of the model and to avoid the appearance of

many tachyon scalars [87, 88]. Therefore we have

$$V_{\rm SUSYRM331} = V_{\rm D} + V_{\rm F} + V_{\rm soft} \tag{20}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\rm D} &= -\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{1}{2}\left(D^a D^a + DD\right) \\
&= \frac{g'^2}{12}(\bar{\rho}\rho - \bar{\rho}'\rho' - \bar{\chi}\chi + \bar{\chi}'\chi')^2 + \frac{g^2}{8}\sum_{i,j}\left(\bar{\rho}_i\lambda^a_{ij}\rho_j + \bar{\chi}_i\lambda^a_{ij}\chi_j - \bar{\rho}'_i\lambda^{*a}_{ij}\rho'_j - \bar{\chi}'_i\lambda^{*a}_{ij}\chi'_j\right)^2, \\
V_{\rm F} &= -\mathcal{L}_F = \sum_F \bar{F}_\mu F_\mu = \sum_i \left[\left|\frac{\mu_\rho}{2}\rho'_i\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\mu_\chi}{2}\chi'_i\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\mu_\rho}{2}\rho_i\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\mu_\chi}{2}\chi_i\right|^2\right], \\
V_{\rm soft} &= -\mathcal{L}_{\rm SMT} = m^2_\rho\bar{\rho}\rho + m^2_\chi\bar{\chi}\chi + m^2_{\rho'}\bar{\rho}'\rho' + m^2_{\chi'}\bar{\chi}'\chi' - \left(b_\rho\rho\rho' + b_\chi\chi\chi' + \text{h.c.}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $m_\rho,\ m_\chi,\ m_{\rho'}$ and $m_{\chi'}$ have the mass dimension. Both b_ρ and b_χ have squared mass dimension and are assumed to be real and positive to make sure the non-zero and real values of VEVs. The expansions of the neutral scalars around their VEVs are

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\rho\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + H_\rho + iF_\rho \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \langle\rho'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v' + H_{\rho'} + iF_{\rho'} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\langle\chi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ w + H_\chi + iF_\chi \end{pmatrix},\ \langle\chi'\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ w' + H_{\chi'} + iF_{\chi'} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The minimum of the Higgs potential corresponds to the vanishing of all linear Higgs terms in the above potential. As a result, it leads to four independent equations shown in [21] which reduce four independent parameters in the original Higgs potential. We will use notations chosen in [20] for this work. Especially, two independent parameters are chosen as

$$t_\gamma = \tan\gamma = \frac{v}{v'}, \quad t_\beta = \tan\beta = \frac{w}{w'}. \tag{23}$$

They are two ratios of VEVs of neutral Higgs scalars, and similar to the β parameter defined in the MSSM. The two electroweak and $\text{SU}(3)_L$ scales relate with masses of W and V boson [20, 21] by two equations

$$m^2_W = \frac{g^2}{4}(v^2 + v'^2); \quad m^2_V = \frac{g^2}{4}(w^2 + w'^2).$$

We can choose m_V as an independent parameter. On the other hand, there are two heavy doubly charged bosons, denoted as $U^{\pm\pm}$, with mass m_U satisfying $m_U^2 = m_V^2 + m_W^2$. If $m_V \gg m_W$, there will appear a degeneration of two heavy boson masses, $m_U = m_V + \frac{1}{2}m_W \times \mathcal{O}\left(m_W/m_V\right) + m_W \times \mathcal{O}\left(m_W/m_V\right)^3 \simeq m_V$. As mentioned above, the constraint of m_V gives a very small ratio between two scales $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_L$: $m_W^2/m_V^2 \leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$. This is a rather good limit for our approximation used in this work. The minimum conditions of the superpotential result a series of the four below equations

$$m_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mu_\rho^2 = \frac{b_\rho}{t_\gamma} - \frac{1+t^2}{3} \times m_V^2 \cos 2\beta + \frac{t^2+2}{3} \times m_W^2 \cos 2\gamma, \tag{24}$$

$$m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} = \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta} - \frac{2+t^2}{3} \times m_V^2 \cos 2\beta + \frac{1+t^2}{3} \times m_W^2 \cos 2\gamma, \tag{25}$$

$$s_{2\gamma} \equiv \sin 2\gamma = \frac{2b_\rho}{m_\rho^2 + m_{\rho'}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_\rho^2}, \quad s_{2\beta} \equiv \sin 2\beta = \frac{2b_\chi}{m_\chi^2 + m_{\chi'}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_\chi^2} \tag{26}$$

The two equations in (26) show the relations between soft-parameters and ratios of the VEVs, and they are much the same as shown in the MSSM. To estimate the scale of these soft parameters, based on the calculation in [38] it is useful to write two equations (24) and (25) in the new forms as follows

$$c_{2\gamma} \equiv \cos 2\gamma = \frac{-(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})(1+2s_W^2) + 2(m_\rho^2 + \frac{\mu_\rho^2}{4} - \frac{b_\rho}{t_\gamma})c_W^2}{m_W^2}, \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2\beta} \equiv \cos 2\beta &= \frac{(m_\rho^2 + \frac{\mu_\rho^2}{4} - \frac{b_\rho}{t_\gamma})(1+2s_W^2) - 2(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})c_W^2}{m_V^2} \\ &= \frac{m_W^2}{m_V^2} \times \frac{(1+3t_W^2)c_{2\gamma}}{2} - \frac{3(1-4s_W^2)}{2c_W^2} \times \frac{(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})}{m_V^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Because $|c_{2\gamma}| \leq 1$, the eq. (27) results a consequence: $|-(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})(1+2s_W^2) + 2(m_\rho^2 + \frac{\mu_\rho^2}{4} - \frac{b_\rho}{t_\gamma})c_W^2| \leq m_W^2$. But the soft breaking parameters, such as $m_\chi^2, m_\rho^2, b_\chi, b_\rho$, should be much larger than m_W^2 so these parameters must be degenerate. In addition, the left hand side of (28) have also an upper bound, $|c_{2\beta}| \leq 1$, so does the right hand side. Because of the hierarchy between two breaking scales $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$, $m_W \ll m_V$, the first term in right hand side is suppressed, then we have $|(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})| \leq \frac{2c_W^2}{3(1-4s_W^2)}m_V^2$. Hence two quantities $(m_\chi^2 + \frac{\mu_\chi^2}{4} - \frac{b_\chi}{t_\beta})$ and $(m_\rho^2 + \frac{\mu_\rho^2}{4} - \frac{b_\rho}{t_\gamma})$ are all in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ scale. This leads to a interesting constraint of soft breaking parameters of the SUSYM331: Although the supersymmetry is

spontanously broken before the breaking of the $SU(3)_L$ symmetry, both the soft parameters and the $SU(3)_L$ breaking scale should be in the same order. This is very interesting point that did not mention in [21]. This conclusion also explains why the values of parameters b_ρ and b_χ are chosen in [21] in order to get consistent values of the lightest CP-even neutral Higgs mass.

Although the Higgs sector of the SUSYRM331 was investigated in [21], two squared mass matrices of neutral and DCHs are only numerically estimated with some specific values of parameter space. But we think that before starting a numerical calculation it is better to find approximate expressions of these masses in order to predict the reasonable ranges of the parameters in the model, as what we will represent through this work. More important, we will show that approximate expressions are very useful to determine many interesting properties of the Higgs spectrums.

The Higgs spectrum are listed as follows

1. **CP-odd neutral Higgses**. Two massless Higgses eaten by two neutral gauge bosons are

$$H_{A_3} = F_{\chi'}\cos\beta - F_\chi \sin\beta, \quad H_{A_4} = F_{\rho'}\cos\gamma - F_\rho\sin\gamma. \tag{29}$$

Two massive Higgses are expressed in terms of the original Higgses as follows

$$H_{A_1} = F_\rho\cos\gamma + F_{\rho'}\sin\gamma, \quad H_{A_2} = F_\chi\cos\beta + F_{\chi'}\sin\beta.$$

and their masses are

$$m^2_{A_1} = \frac{2b_\rho}{s_{2\gamma}} = m^2_\rho + m^2_{\rho'} + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2_\rho, \quad m^2_{A_2} = \frac{2b_\chi}{s_{2\beta}} = m^2_\chi + m^2_{\chi'} + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2_\chi. \tag{30}$$

2. **Singly charged Higgses**. Two massless eigenstates of these Higgses are

$$H^\pm_3 = \chi^\pm\sin\beta + \chi'^\pm\cos\beta, \quad H^\pm_4 = \rho^\pm\sin\gamma + \rho'^\pm\cos\gamma,$$

which are eaten by the singly charged gauge bosons. Two other massive states are

$$\begin{aligned} H^\pm_1 &= -\rho^\pm\cos\gamma + \rho'^\pm\sin\gamma, \quad m^2_{H^\pm_1} = m^2_{A_1} + m^2_W, \\ H^\pm_2 &= -\chi^\pm\cos\beta + \chi'^\pm\sin\beta, \quad m^2_{H^\pm_2} = m^2_{A_2} + m^2_V. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

3. **CP-even Neutral Higgses**. In the basis of $(H_\rho,\ H_{\rho'},\ H_\chi,\ H_{\chi'})$ mass term of the neutral scalar Higgses has form of

$$\mathcal{L}_{H^0} = \frac{1}{2}(H_\rho,\ H_{\rho'},\ H_\chi,\ H_{\chi'}) \times \mathcal{M}^2_{4H} \times (H_\rho,\ H_{\rho'},\ H_\chi,\ H_{\chi'})^T, \tag{32}$$

where

$$M^2_{4H} = \begin{pmatrix} m^2_{S11} & m^2_{S12} & m^2_{S13} & m^2_{S14} \\ & m^2_{S22} & m^2_{S23} & m^2_{S24} \\ & & m^2_{S33} & m^2_{S34} \\ & & & m^2_{S44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Analytic formulas of entries of the matrix were listed in [20, 21]. This matrix has a problem of finding the exact analytic expressions of eigenvalues, the reason why the ref. [21] had to choose the approach of numerical investigation.

We remind that the eigenvalues of this matrix, $\lambda = m^2_{H^0}$, must satisfy the equation

$$f(\lambda) \equiv \det(M^2_{4H} - \lambda I_4) = 0. \tag{33}$$

As function of λ, the left hand side of (33) is a polynomial of degree 4. Based on the very detail discussion on Higgs spectrum of the SUSYE331 in [38] that we do not repeat again, this function can be expressed in terms of independent parameters $m_{A_1}, m_{A_2}, c_{2\gamma}, c_{2\beta}, m_W$ and m_V where m_{A_1} and m_{A_2} are soft breaking parameters. As commented above, these soft parameters are the same orders of the m_V-the $SU(3)_L$ scale, i.e $m_{A_1}/m_V, m_{A_2}/m_V \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. To find approximate expressions of Higgs masses, it is needed to define a very small parameter: $\epsilon = (m^2_W/m^2_V) \leq (80.4/2000)^2 = 0.0016$. Then the masses of these neutral Higgses can be written as expansions of powers of ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned} m^2_{H^0_1} &= M^2_Z c^2_{2\gamma} + \mathcal{O}(m^2_W) \times \epsilon, \\ m^2_{H^0_2} &= M^2_{A_1} + \mathcal{O}(m^2_W), \\ m^2_{H^0_{3,4}} &= \frac{1}{6}\left[\frac{4c^2_W m^2_V}{1-4s^2_W} + 3m^2_{A_2} \pm \sqrt{-\frac{48c^2_{2\beta}m^2_{A_2}m^2_V}{1-4s^2_W} + \left(3m^2_{A_2} + \frac{4c^2_W m^2_V}{1-4s^2_W}\right)^2}\right] \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(m^2_W). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It is necessary to note that the lightest mass with tree-level value of $m_Z|\cos 2\gamma| \leq m_Z = 92$ GeV, being consistent with numerical result shown in [21]. Thus, the mass including loop corrections will increase to the current value of 125-126 GeV.

Although the Higgs sector was investigated in [21], we should emphasize new feature in our work. To estimate the tree-level mass of the lightest CP-even Higgs, by using the reasonable approximation we have obtained an analytic formula being very consistent with that given in the MSSM. The interesting point is that our result depends only on the condition that all soft parameters must be in the $SU(3)_L$ scale. The result also suggests that the γ parameter in the SUSYRM331 model plays the very similar role of the β parameter in the MSSM, defined as the ratio of two VEVs. This approximation is very useful for estimating masses as well as predicting many interesting properties of the DCHs, as we will do in this work. The authors in [21] also considered only top quark and its superpartner for investigating one-loop corrections to the mass of the lightest neutral Higgs, then used this allowed value to constrain masses of the DCHs. But unlike the MSSM, the SUSYRM331 contains new heavy exotic quarks and their superpartners, leading to the fact that their loop corrections to the mass of the lightest neutral Higgs have to be considered. Because the masses of these new quarks are arbitrary, one cannot tell much about the constraints of charged Higgs masses from considering these loop corrections.

The approximate formula (34) of neutral Higgs masses is useful for finding mass eigenstates of the neutral Higgses. We will consider the two following rotations for the squared mass matrix of neutral Higgses appearing in (32),

$$C_1^{\rm n} = \begin{pmatrix} -c_\gamma & s_\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ s_\gamma & c_\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_2^{\rm n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_\alpha & -s_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & s_\alpha & c_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

where $s_\alpha \equiv \sin\alpha$ and $c_\alpha \equiv \cos\alpha$ will be defined later. Taking the first rotation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &C_1^n M_{4H}^2 C_1^{nT} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} m_{A_1}^2 + \frac{2c_{2\gamma}^2(t^2+2)}{3}m_W^2 & \frac{s_{4\gamma}(t^2+2)}{3}m_W^2 & \frac{2s_{2\gamma}s_\beta(t^2+1)}{3}m_W m_V & -\frac{2s_{2\gamma}c_\beta(t^2+1)}{3}m_W m_V \\ & \frac{2c_{2\gamma}^2(t^2+2)}{3}m_W^2 & \frac{2c_{2\gamma}s_\beta(t^2+1)}{3}m_W m_V & -\frac{2c_{2\gamma}c_\beta(t^2+1)}{3}m_W m_V \\ & & c_\beta^2 m_{A_2}^2 + \frac{2s_\beta^2(t^2+2)}{3}m_V^2 & s_{2\beta}\left[\frac{m_{A_2}^2}{2} + (t^2+2)m_V^2\right] \\ & & & s_\beta^2 m_{A_2}^2 + \frac{2c_\beta^2(t^2+2)}{3}m_V^2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The first diagonal entry of (36) is equal to the largest contribution to $m_{H_2^0}^2$ and the submatrix including entries $\left(C_1^n M_{4H}^2 C_1^{nT}\right)_{(i,j=4,5)}$ give two other values of heavy masses $m_{H_3^0}^2$

and $m^2_{H^0_4}$. While the entry $\left(C^n_1 M^2_{4H} C^{nT}_1\right)_{33} = \frac{2c^2_{2\gamma}(t^2+2)}{3} m^2_W = \mathcal{O}(m^2_W)$ relates with the lightest Higgs mass, but it is different from that shown in (34). To get a right value it must give more contributions from non-diagonal tries containing factors $m_W m_V$ after taking other rotations. As mentioned, the most interesting values of α and β satisfy $c_{2\gamma}, c_{2\beta} \to -1$, i.e $s_{\gamma,\beta} \to 1$ and $c_{\gamma,\beta} \to 0$. It suggests that the largest correction to the lightest mass is from the entries $\left(C^n_1 M^2_{4H} C^{nT}_1\right)_{23}$ and $\left(C^n_1 M^2_{4H} C^{nT}_1\right)_{32}$. So taking the second rotation with $C^{\rm n}_2$ given in (35) and using the limits $m^2_W \ll m^2_V$ and $c_\beta \to 0$, it is easy to confirm that $\left(C^n_2 C^n_1 M^2_{4H} (C^n_2 C^n_1)^T\right)_{(22)} \simeq m^2_Z |c_{2\gamma}|$ with α determined by

$$\tan 2\alpha \equiv \frac{4c_{2\gamma} s_\beta (t^2+1) m_W m_V}{3c^3_\beta m^2_{A_2} + 2s^2_\beta (t^2+2) m^2_V - 2c^2_{2\gamma}(t^2+2) m^2_W} \sim \mathcal{O}(m_W/mV). \tag{37}$$

Then we can estimate the contribution of the original Higgs states to the mass eigenstates of the even-CP neutral Higgses H^0_1 and H^0_2 are

$$H_\rho \to c_\alpha s_\gamma H^0_1 - c_\gamma H^0_2, \quad H_{\rho'} \to c_\alpha c_\gamma H^0_1 + s_\gamma H^0_2, \quad H_\chi, H_{\chi'} \to \mathcal{O}(s_\alpha) H^0_1. \tag{38}$$

It is interesting that in the decoupling regime where the SUSY and $SU(3)_L$ scales are much larger than the $SU(2)_L$ scale, we have $H_\rho \simeq s_\gamma H^0_1 - c_\gamma H^0_2$ and $H_{\rho'} \simeq c_\gamma H^0_1 + s_\gamma H^0_2$, the same as those given in the MSSM[82]. Therefore all couplings of these two Higgses with $W^\pm$ and Z bosons are the same as those in the MSSM. More interesting the SUSYRM331 contains a set of Higgses including $m_{H^0_{1,2}}$, m_{A_1} and $m_{H^\pm_1}$ that has similar properties to the Higgs spectrum of the MSSM. This property of the SUSY versions of the 3-3-1 models was also indicated previously [38]. As the result, the SUSY Higgs contributions to the ρ parameter are the same in both MSSM and SUSYRM331 in the decoupling regime.

At the tree level, the above analysis indicates that the Higgs spectrum can be determined by unknown independent parameters: γ, β , m_V, m_{A_1} and m_{A_2}. Furthermore, the squared mass matrices of both CP-even neutral and DCHs depend explicitly on $c_{2\beta}$, $s_{2\beta}$, $c_{2\gamma}$ and $s_{2\gamma}$ but not $t_{2\gamma}$, $t_{2\beta}$. Hence it can be guessed that Higgs masses will not increase to infinities when t_γ and t_β are very large. And in some cases we can take the limits $c_{2\beta,2\gamma} \to -1$ and $s_{2\beta,2\gamma} \to 0$ without any inconsistent calculations. In addition, we will use the limit 2TeV $\leq m_V \leq 3$ TeV based on the latest update discussed above. The m_{A_1} and m_{A_2} are the same order of m_V so we set m_{A_1}, $m_{A_2} \geq 1$ TeV in our calculation. Other well known values will be used are mass of the W boson $m_W = 80.4$ GeV, sine of the Weinberg angle $s_W = 0.231$, mass and total decay width of the Z boson $m_Z = 91.2$ GeV, $\Gamma_Z = 2.46$ GeV.

The discovery of the lightest CP-even Higgs mass of 125 GeV implies that $|c_{2\gamma}| \simeq 1$, i.e t_γ should be large enough, similar to the case of MSSM. As a consequence, the relation (27) shows the fine tuning among soft parameters and the relation (28) predicts that $|c_{2\beta}|$ should also be large. Therefore we will fix $t_\beta = 5$ and $t_\gamma = 10$ in the numerical investigation which can be applied for the general case of large t_β and t_γ. This can be understood from the reason that all quantities we consider below depend on γ, 2γ, β and 2β only by factors of sine or cosine but not tan functions.

III. DOUBLY CHARGED HIGGS BOSONS AND COUPLINGS

A. Mass spectrum and properties of the lightest DCH

Consider the DCHs, the SUSYE331 model contains 8 degrees of freedom after final symmetry breaking. Therefore the squared mass matrix is 4×4 and we cannot find the exact expressions for the physical masses. We will treat them the same as the case of the neutral CP-even Higgses, in much more details to discover all possible interesting properties of the DCHs, especially the lightest.

The mass term of the doubly charged boson is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{H^{\pm\pm}} = \left(\rho^{++},\ \rho'^{++},\ \chi^{++},\ \chi'^{++} \right) \mathcal{M}^2_{H^{\pm\pm}} \left(\rho^{--}\ \ \rho'^{--}\ \ \chi^{--}\ \ \chi'^{--} \right)^T,$$

where the elements of the squared mass matrix was shown precisely in [21]. Taking a rotation characterized by a matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-m_W s_\gamma}{m_U} & 0 & c_\gamma & \frac{m_V s_\gamma}{m_U} \\ \frac{-m_W c_\gamma}{m_U} & 0 & -s_\gamma & \frac{m_V c_\gamma}{m_U} \\ \frac{m_V s_\beta}{m_U} & -c_\beta & 0 & \frac{m_W s_\beta}{m_U} \\ \frac{m_V c_\beta}{m_U} & s_\beta & 0 & \frac{m_W c_\beta}{m_U} \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

we get new squared mass matrix

$$\mathcal{M}^2_{H'^{\pm\pm}} \equiv C_1^T \mathcal{M}^2_{H^{\pm\pm}} C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m^2_{A_2} + m^2_V - c_{2\beta}c_{2\gamma}m^2_W & -s_{2\beta}s_{2\gamma}m_V m_W & c_{2\gamma}s_{2\beta}m_U m_W \\ 0 & -s_{2\beta}s_{2\gamma}m_V m_W & m^2_{A_1} - c_{2\beta}c_{2\gamma}m^2_V + m^2_W & -s_{2\gamma}c_{2\beta}m_U m_V \\ 0 & c_{2\gamma}s_{2\beta}m_U m_W & -s_{2\gamma}c_{2\beta}m_U m_V & c_{2\gamma}c_{2\beta}m^2_U \end{pmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

Corresponding to massless solution in (40), the Goldstone eaten by the doubly charged gauge boson is represented exactly in term of original Higgses,

$$G^{\pm\pm} = -\frac{m_W s_\gamma}{m_U}\rho^{\pm\pm} - \frac{m_W c_\gamma}{m_U}\rho'^{\pm\pm} + \frac{m_V s_\beta}{m_U}\chi^{\pm\pm} + \frac{m_V c_\beta}{m_U}\chi'^{\pm\pm}. \tag{41}$$

Because $m_W \ll m_U$, $m_V \simeq m_U$ and $s_\gamma \leq 1$, the doubly charged gauge boson couples weakly to light Higgses but strongly to heavy Higgses.

The squared mass matrix of the DCHs (40) also shows that if there exist a light DCH (i.e $\sim \mathcal{O}(m_W^2)$) then the contributions of the off-diagonal entries to the mass of this Higgs are large. Then it is difficult to find an analytic formula for both mass eigenstates and eigenvalues. Note that apart from Goldstone boson (41), there are three other states denoted by $H_i'^{\pm\pm}$, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. They relate to original DCHs by a transformation

$$\left(\rho^{\pm\pm},\ \rho'^{\pm\pm},\ \chi^{\pm\pm},\ \chi'^{\pm\pm}\right)^T = C_1 \left(G^{\pm\pm},\ H_1'^{\pm\pm},\ H_2'^{\pm\pm},\ H_3'^{\pm\pm}\right)^T. \tag{42}$$

We assume that three physical DCHs relate to ($H_1'^{\pm\pm}$, $H_2'^{\pm\pm}$, $H_3'^{\pm\pm}$) by a 3×3 matrix Λ as follows

$$\left(H_1'^{\pm\pm},\ H_2'^{\pm\pm},\ H_3'^{\pm\pm}\right)^T = \Lambda \left(H_1^{\pm\pm},\ H_2^{\pm\pm},\ H_3^{\pm\pm}\right)^T. \tag{43}$$

To estimate the values of entries of the matrix Λ, we firstly find out some properties of mass eigenvalues of the DCHs. The remain three eigenvalues of this matrix $\lambda = m^2_{H^{\pm\pm}}$ must satisfy the equation $\det(\mathcal{M}^2_{H^{\pm\pm}} - \lambda I_4) = 0$, or equivalently, $\lambda f(\lambda) = 0$ with

$$f(\lambda) = a\lambda^3 + b\lambda^2 + c\lambda + d, \tag{44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= -(m_V^2 + m_{A_1}^2 + m_{A_2}^2 + m_W^2),\\
b &= -c_{2\beta}^2 m_V^4 + m_{A_1}^2\left(m_V^2 + c_{2\beta}c_{2\gamma} + m_{A_2}^2\right) + \left[m_{A_2}^2 + c_{2\beta}c_{2\gamma}(2m_V^2 + m_{A_2}^2)\right] m_W^2 - c_{2\gamma}^2 m_W^4,\\
c &= \left(m_V^2 + m_W^2\right)\left[c_{2\beta}m_V^2 - c_{2\gamma}(m_W^2 + m_{A_1}^2)\right]\left[c_{2\beta}(m_V^2 + m_{A_2}^2) - c_{2\gamma}m_W^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

This equation gives three solutions corresponding to three masses of the physical DCHs at tree level. We denote them as $m^2_{H_i^{\pm\pm}}$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}},\ m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} > m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}}$. Combining the last equation of (45) with the vieta's formula, in order to avoid the appearance of tachyons we deduce that

$$m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} = -c > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\left(m_{A_1}^2 + m_W^2\right)c_{2\gamma}}{m_V^2} < c_{2\beta} < \frac{m_W^2 c_{2\gamma}}{m_V^2 + m_{A_2}^2} < 0. \tag{46}$$

Furthermore, the entry $()_{22}$ of (40) suggests that if $m^2_{A_1}$ is enough close to m^2_V then there may appear one light DCH, while two other values are always in the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ scale. So in order to to find the best approximate formulas of vertex factors $V^0H^{++}H^{--}$, it is better to investigate the mass values of the DCHs using techniques shown in [38], and partly mentioned when discussing on the neutral CP-even Higgs sector. The masses can be expanded as

$$m^2_{H^{\pm\pm}} = X'm^2_V + X'' \times m^2_W + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \times m^2_W. \tag{47}$$

The heavy Higgses satisfy the condition $X' \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, i.e in the soft-breaking or $SU(3)_L$ scale. Keeping only the leading term in (47) as the largest contribution, the masses of the three DCHs are

$$\begin{aligned} m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} &\simeq m^2_V + m^2_{A_2}, \\ m^2_{H^{\pm\pm}_{2,3}} &\simeq \frac{1}{2}\left(m^2_{A_1} \pm \sqrt{4c^2_{2\beta}m^4_V - 4c_{2\beta}c_{2\gamma}m^2_V m^2_{A_1} + m^4_{A_1}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Comparing the $\left(\mathcal{M}^2_{H'^{\pm\pm}}\right)_{33}$ entry of (40) with $m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}}$ in the first line of (48), it can be realized that $\left(\mathcal{M}^2_{H'^{\pm\pm}}\right)_{33} - m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} = \mathcal{O}(m^2_W) \ll m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}}$. As a result, the main contribution to the mass eigenstate of $m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}}$ is $H_1'^{\pm\pm} = -c_\beta\chi^{\pm\pm} + s_\beta\chi'^{\pm\pm}$, i.e $\Lambda_{1i}, \Lambda_{i1} \simeq \delta_{1i}$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$. This is very useful to find the formulas of coupling between these DCHs with neutral gauge bosons.

The above approximative formulas of DCH masses can predict precisely constraints of these masses. From eq. (44), applying Vieta's formulas to the first line of (45) we get a relation

$$m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} + m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} + m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} = m^2_V + m^2_{A_2} + m^2_{A_1} + m^2_W.$$

Combining with $m^2_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} = m^2_V + m^2_{A_2} + \mathcal{O}(m^2_W)$ we have a sum of two DCHs, $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} + m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} = m^2_{A_1} + \mathcal{O}(m^2_W)$, which is still in order of $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L$ scale. So there must be at most one light DCH in the model. If the model contains this light Higgs, i.e $m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} \sim \mathcal{O}(m^2_W)$ we can prove that $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} \sim m^2_V$. This is the consequence deduced from the last eq. of (45) and (46): the condition of existing this light Higgs is

$$0 < k_{H^{\pm\pm}} \equiv -c_{2\beta}\left[c_{2\beta}m^2_V - c_{2\gamma}(m^2_W + m^2_{A_1})\right] \sim \mathcal{O}(m^2_W). \tag{49}$$

It leads to $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} + m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} \sim \mathcal{O}(m^2_V)$. The mass of the lightest DCH $m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}}$ depends directly on the scale of $k_{H^{\pm\pm}}$. It is easy to realize that two mass eigenstates $H^{\pm\pm}_{2,3}$ get main contributions

from $\rho^{\pm\pm}$ and $\rho'^{\pm\pm}$. This is consistent with the fact that main contribution to mass of the heavy Higgs $H_2^{\pm\pm}$ is from the $b_\rho \rho\rho'$ term. Numerical values of these masses are illustrated in the figure 2.



FIG. 2: Contour plots for masses of DCHs as functions of m_{A_1} and m_V. The left (right) panel corresponds to $m_{A_2} = 1$ (2.5) TeV. Here the heaviest: dotted curves, the second heavy: dashed curves, the lightest: the black curves.

The condition (49) give a lower bound of $m_{A_1} > 1.8$ TeV. With $m_{A_2} < 3$TeV, the heaviest DCH is always $H_1^{\pm\pm}$, except the case of the very light $m_V \simeq 2$ TeV. This explains why $m_{H_1^{\pm\pm}}$ does not depend on m_{A_1}, while it is sensitive with m_V. The second heavy DCH is also independent with small value of m_{A_1}, which can be explained as follows. The condition of avoiding tachyon DCH (46) implies that $0 < c_{2\beta}/c_{2\gamma} m_V^2 - m_W^2 < m_{A_1}^2$. Therefore, the small m_{A_1} will give $c_{2\beta} m_V^2 - c_{2\gamma} m_{A_1}^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(m_W^2)$ which is the condition for appearing the very light DCH. This gives

$$\frac{4c_{2\beta} m_V^2 (c_{2\beta} m_V^2 - c_{2\gamma} m_{A_1}^2)}{m_{A_1}^4} \sim \frac{m_V^2}{m_{A_1}^2} \times \frac{\mathcal{O}(m_W^2)}{m_{A_1}^2} \ll 1$$

which we can use for estimating an approximation of $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}}$

$$m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} \simeq \frac{\left(c_{2\beta} m_V^2 - c_{2\gamma} m_{A_1}^2\right)^2}{m_{A_1}^2} + s_{2\gamma}^2 m_{A_1}^2 + c_{2\beta} c_{2\gamma} m_V^2.$$

Here the very small $s_{2\gamma}^2$ is assumed in this work. This means that $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}}$ is sensitive with the changes of $c_{2\beta} c_{2\gamma} m_V^2$ but not with the small changes of m_{A_1}.

Now we pay attention to the first interesting property relating to the SUSYRM331: it may contain the lightest DCH that does not depend on the $SU(3)_L$ scale but the specific

correlation between m_{A_1} and m_V, as indicated in (49) and illustrated in the figure 2. It can be seen that there always exists a region of the parameter space containing the mass of this DCH with order of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV. So the ILC can create this Higgs in the collision energy of 0.5-1.0 TeV. While the two other DCHs are very heavy because of the large lower bound of $m_V \geq 2$ TeV as well as $m_{A_1} > 1.8$ TeV obtained from the condition (49). The lower bound of the the first DCH mass is $m_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} \simeq \sqrt{m_V^2 + m_{A_2}^2} = m_{H_2^{\pm}} > 2$TeV. The additional condition of $m_{A_1} > 1.8$TeV will result a larger lower bound of $m_{H_1^{\pm\pm}} > 3$ TeV and be independent with m_{A_2}. The lower bound of $m^2_{H_2^{\pm\pm}}$ directly depends on the condition (49), where $m^2_{A_1} > \frac{c_{2\beta} m_V^2}{c_{2\gamma}} - m_W^2$, leading to $m_{H_2^{\pm\pm}} > 1.9$ TeV, when $c_{2\beta}, c_{2\gamma} \simeq -1$ are assumed in this work. Hence the SUSYRM331 model predicts that the DCHs will not appear in the e^+e^- colliders with colliding energies below 4 TeV, except the lightest.



FIG. 3: Plots of mass spectrum as functions of m_{A_1} with different fixed m_V. The black, dotted, dashed and thick dashed curves represent DCHs, singly charged, neutral Higgses and V gauge boson, respectively.

There is the second interesting property of the lightets DCH: it is lighter than all particles including new gauge bosons, singly charged Higgses, as illustrated in the figure 3. It is easy to see this when we compare all masses computed above. The exotic quarks as well as superpartners can be reasonably supposed to be heavier than the lightest DCH, then we do not consider here. Then we can indicate that the lightest DCH decays into only pair of charged leptons. Recall that all DCHs have the lepton number two. Therefore the total lepton number of all final states of their decays must be the same. In particularly, the final states of each decay should contain one bilepton or a pair of charged leptons. From the table VI and VII that list all three- and four-vertex couplings relating with DCHs, we can see

that: except the coupling with two leptons, the lightest DCH always couples with at least one heavier particle: another DCH, a singly charged Higgs, a new gauge boson or a CP-odd neutral Higgs. So if existing the most promising signal of the lightest DCH is the decay into only a pair of leptons. This strongly suggests the possibility of detection of the lightest DCH in e^+e^- colliders such as the ILC or CLIC, even in the low energy of 0.5-1 TeV.

As mentioned above, the state of the lightest DCH is contributed mainly from two Higgses ρ and ρ'. Combining this with coupling factors between DCHs and charged leptons shown in the table VI, it is easy to prove that the partial decay of this DCH to a pair of same-sign leptons is $\Gamma(H^{\pm\pm} \to l_i^{\pm} l_i^{\pm}) \sim (m_{l_i}/m_W)^2$ with $l_i = e,\ \mu,\ \tau$. As a result, we obtain $\mathrm{Br}(H^{\pm\pm} \to \tau^{\pm}\tau^{\pm}) \simeq 1$, i.e, the number of events of four-tauon signals is equal to that of creating the lightest DCH at e^+e^- colliders.

Because the lightest DCH mainly decays to the same-sign τ pairs, the lower bound from experimental searches is 204 GeV[26]. This lightest DCH is very different from other DCHs predicted by other models where they can mainly decay to pairs of the two same-sign W bosons or W^-H^- [35–37]. On the other hand, the heavy DCHs predicted by the SUSYRM331 only couple to other bileptons in the model, including $H_2^{\pm}$, $V^{\pm}$, $U^{\pm\pm}$ and the corresponding superpartners. The most interesting couplings is $H_1^{\pm\pm}W^{\mp}H_2^{\mp}$, which was discussed in [35–37, 89] for creating DCHs at LHC through virtual $W^{\pm}$ bosons. While there are not any couplings of $H^{\pm\pm}W^{\mp}W^{\mp}$ because of the lepton number conversation. In addition, the masses of the two heavy DCHs are always larger than 1.5 TeV, they do not appear in the e^+e^- colliders such as ILC and CLIC with their recent designs. These two Higgses may only appear at the LHC with high luminosity. In addition, both of them can be created through the channel of $pp \to \gamma/Z/Z' \to H^{++}H^{--}$, but only $H_1^{\pm\pm}$ may be created through the channel $pp \to W^{\pm} \to H_1^{\pm\pm}H_2^{\mp}$. Regarding to the latter channel, discussions in refs. [37, 89] indicated that it is very hard to find signals of these very heavy DCH, even at the very high luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} that LHC can reach. While the former happens for all three DCH, the two heavy DCHs are also very hard to observed [90].

From the above reason, the SUSYRM331 predicts that only the lightest DCH may be discovered at the LHC and e^+e^- colliders and the signal can be observed through the main channel of $pp/e^+e^- \to \gamma/Z/Z' \to H_3^{++}H_3^{--} \to$ four tauons. With the LHC, one hopes it will be observed up to mass of 600 GeV with high luminosity of 3000fb^{-1}. Because the creating cross section is proportional to $1/s^2$, with s being colliding energy, the signal of DCH at ILC

and CLIC seems better than that in LHC. In addition, with the ILC or CLIC a larger range mass of the DCH can be observed, so we will mainly pay attention to the lightest DCH at e^+e^- colliders.

Now we will estimate the allowed kinetic condition $2m_{H^{\pm\pm}} \leq E_{cm}$ for the creation of the lightest DCH at the e^+e^- colliders with maximal center mass (CM) energy of 3 TeV. Even in case of both large values of m_V and m_{A_1}, there always exists a region where mass of the lightest DCH is in the order of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV. Furthermore, this light value almost does not depend on m_{A_2}. Although the mass values below 204 GeV of this Higgs are almost excluded recently from its decay into only a pair of tauons [26, 27], higher values can be searched by ILC or CLIC with CM energy about 1 TeV.

The appearance of the light DCH may give large loop corrections to the decays of well-known particles. The most important is the decay channel of the SM-like Higgs $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, which get contributions from only pure loop corrections. The signal strength of this decay is defined as the ratio of the observed cross section and the SM predicion, $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = \sigma^{\rm obs}_{H\to\gamma\gamma}/\sigma^{\rm SM}_{H\to\gamma\gamma}$, and was found to be slightly excess than 1 [3, 4, 91, 92]. The enhancement is explained by the contributions of new particles to the partial decay width of $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ [82]. The analytic formula of this decay width is the sum of the three particular parts: SM, $SU(3)_L$ [93] and SUSY contributions. The SM and SUSYRM331 contributions can be deduced based on [82, 94].

The SUSYRM331, with both $SU(3)_L$ and SUSY breaking scales being larger than 7 TeV, results a consequence that most of the $SU(3)_L$ and SUSY particles give suppressed contributions to this decay, except the lightest DCH. Hence the $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ is an important channel to set a lower bound to its mass. We will follow the latest update of $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ in ref. [4] where $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.12 \pm 0.24$ without any inconsistences with results of ATLAS. In addition, to simplify the calculation, we consider the largest new physics effect to the H_1^0 decay is from only the lightest DCH $H_3^{\pm\pm}$ to the partial decay $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$. As a result, we have a very simple formula, which must satisfy the experimental constraint: $0.88 = 1.12 - 0.24 \leq \mu^{\rm SUSYRM331}_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 1.12 + 0.24 = 1.36$. The partial decay of the $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ is written as

$$\Gamma^{\rm SUSYRM331}_{H_1^0\to\gamma\gamma} \simeq \frac{G_\mu \alpha^2 m^3_{H_1^0}}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left|A^{\rm SM} + \Delta A\right|^2, \tag{50}$$

where $A^{\rm SM}$ is the contribution from the SM particles, and ΔA is the new contribution from SUSYRM331 particles. The well-known SM formula can be found in many textbooks,

for example in [94]. To find a simple analytic formula, our work considers only case of $c_{2\gamma}, c_{2\beta} \to -1$, where the masses of the DCHs are nearly equal to the diagonal entries of the squared mass matrix (40), being consistent with (48). The lightest DCH mass now satisfies $m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} = \mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV when (49) is satisfied. And also, the main contribution to the mixing matrix of the DCHs is C_1 shown in (39). Combining with discussion on the neutral Higgs sector, we get the $H_1^0 H_3^{++} H_3^{--}$ coupling is $g_{H^0HH} \simeq \frac{1}{3}g(t^2+2)c_{2\gamma}m_W \simeq -\frac{1}{3}g(t^2+2)m_W = -\frac{2c_W^2}{3(1-4s_W^2)}m_W$. Following this, the formula of ΔA can be written as[82],

$$\Delta A = -\frac{8c_W^2 m_W^2}{3(1-4s_W^2)m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}}} A_0(t_H), \tag{51}$$

where $t_H = \frac{m^2_{H_1^0}}{4m^2_{H_3^{\pm\pm}}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(t) &= -[t - f(t)]t^{-2}, \\ f(t) &= \begin{cases} \arcsin^2\sqrt{t} & \text{for } t \le 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4}\left[\ln\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-t^{-1}}}{1-\sqrt{1-t^{-1}}}\right) - i\pi\right]^2 & \text{for } t > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The signal strength of the decay $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ predicted by the SUSYRM331 is shown in the figure 4, where $m_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} \ge 200$ GeV is allowed, being equal to the lower bound of 200 GeV from the current experiments.



FIG. 4: Signal strength of the decay $H_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ as function of the lightest DCH mass.

Finally, in order to calculate the cross section of the DCHs in the e^+e^- colliders, the following of this section will calculate the coupling of $H^{++}H^{--}V^0$.

B. Couplings between DCHs with neutral scalars and gauge bosons

It is noted that the process $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ through virtual neutral Higgses involves with the coupling $e^+e^-H^0$. In the SUSY version [12], this kind of the coupling is $2gm_e/(m_W c_\gamma)$. While the SUSY version [20] has no this kind of coupling at the tree level. In this work we will use the case in [12]. Corresponding with this, we consider the coupling $H^{++}H^{--}\rho'^0$. Couplings $H^{++}H^{--}H^0$ comes from the D-term of the scalar potential (20) , namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{H^{++}H^{--}H^0} &= \frac{gm_W}{6}\rho'^0\left(\rho^{--},\ \rho'^{--},\ \chi^{--},\ \chi'^{--}\right) \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} 2s_\gamma(t^2-1) & -3s_\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ -3c_\gamma & 2c_\gamma(t^2+2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2c_\gamma(t^2-2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2c_\gamma(t^2-2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{++} \\ \rho'^{++} \\ \chi^{++} \\ \chi'^{++} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Because the contributions from neutral Higgs mediations only relate to ρ'^0, so the contribution to the $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ amplitude is proportional to

$$\frac{gm_e}{m_W c_\gamma} \times m_W c_\gamma = \frac{m_e e^2}{s^2_{\theta_W}}.$$

This contribution is smaller than one from neutral gauge boson mediation a factor of $m_e/\sqrt{s}$, so we can neglect it.

The Higgs-Higgs-gauge boson vertices come from the covariant kinetic terms of the Higgses

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\rm H}^{\rm kinetic} &= \sum_H (\mathcal{D}_\mu H)^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\mu H, \\ \to\quad & \frac{ig}{2}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}V^{8\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{2}t}{\sqrt{3}}B^\mu\right)\left(\rho^{--}\partial_\mu\rho^{++} + \rho'^{--}\partial_\mu\rho'^{++}\right) \\ & - \frac{ig}{2}\left(-V^{3\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}V^{8\mu} - \frac{\sqrt{2}t}{\sqrt{3}}B^\mu\right)\left(\chi^{--}\partial_\mu\chi^{++} + \chi'^{--}\partial_\mu\chi'^{++}\right) + \text{H.c.}\end{aligned}$$

The interactions among neutral gauge bosons and DCHs can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{HHV^0} &= i2eA^\mu\left(\rho^{--}\partial_\mu\rho^{++}+\rho'^{--}\partial_\mu\rho'^{++}+\chi^{--}\partial_\mu\chi^{++}+\chi'^{--}\partial_\mu\chi'^{++}\right)\\ &+ \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[-\left(c_\zeta+\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)Z^\mu+\left(s_\zeta-\frac{(2t^2-3)c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)Z'^\mu\right]\\ &\times\left(\rho^{--}\partial_\mu\rho^{++}+\rho'^{--}\partial_\mu\rho'^{++}\right)\\ &+ \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\left(c_\zeta-\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)Z^\mu-\left(s_\zeta+\frac{(2t^2-3)c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)Z'^\mu\right]\\ &\times\left(\chi^{--}\partial_\mu\chi^{++}+\chi'^{--}\partial_\mu\chi'^{++}\right)+\text{H.c.},\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu - igV_\mu^a T^a - ig'XT^9B_\mu$, $T^a = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^a$ or $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{a*}$ corresponding to triplet or anti-triplet representation of Higgses, $T^9 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\text{diag}(1,1,1)$. In order to find the couplings of Z, Z' bosons with the DCHs, we have to change the basis $(\rho^{--}, \rho'^{--}, \chi^{--}, \chi'^{--})$ into the physical mass states $(G^{--}, H_1^{--}, H_2^{--}, H_3^{--})$. Based on (40), if we ignore the suppressed terms containing a factor of m_W^2/m_V^2, we can estimate the $H^{--}H^{++}V^0$ couplings. In the limit $\Lambda_{11} \simeq 1$, $\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda_{13} \to 0$, the couplings of two different DCHs with gauge bosons are very suppressed. So we only investigate the couplings of $H_i^{++}H_i^{--}V$. These couplings are almost independent to Λ_{ij} or masses of DCHs, as given in Table II.

$V^\mu H_i^{--}H_i^{++}(p+p')_\mu$	A^μ	Z^μ	Z'^μ
$H_1^{\pm\pm}$	$2ie$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta - c_\zeta\right)$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta + s_\zeta\right)$
$H_{2,3}^{\pm\pm}$	$2ie$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta + c_\zeta\right)$	$\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta - s_\zeta\right)$

TABLE II: Couplings of DCHs with neutral gauge bosons.

IV. SIGNAL OF DOUBLY CHARGED HIGGSES IN e^+e^- COLLIDERS

In a e^+e^- collider, the reaction $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ may involve the mediations of virtual neutral particles such as Higgses, gauge bosons. But main contributions relate to only neutral gauge bosons, as the Feynman diagrams shown in the figure 5.

In the center mass (CM) frame, the differential cross section for each DCH is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{1}{32\pi s}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2}{s}}\left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}\right|^2, \tag{54}$$

where $s = (p_1+p_2)^2 = E_{\text{cm}}^2$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is the scattering amplitude, θ is the angle between $\vec{k}_1$



FIG. 5: Feynman diagrams for production of H^{++} and its decays in e^+e^- colliders.

and $\vec{p}_1$. The detail calculation is shown in the appendix A. The final result is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d(\cos\theta)} \;=\; -\frac{s}{32\pi}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2}{s}}\times\left(|\lambda_L|^2+|\lambda_R|^2\right)\left(1+\cos^2\theta\right), \tag{55}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_L^{H_1} \;&=\; \sum_a \frac{G_L^a G_H^a}{s-m_V^{a2}+im_V^a\Gamma_a} \\ &=\; e^2\times\left[\frac{2}{s}+\frac{\left(c_\zeta+\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta-c_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_Z^2+im_Z\Gamma_Z\right)}+\frac{\left(-s_\zeta+\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta+s_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_{Z'}^2+im_{Z'}\Gamma_{Z'}\right)}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where $a=\gamma,\ Z,\ Z'$, total width of the Z' is given in the appendix II B and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_R^{H_1} \;&=\; \sum_a \frac{G_R^a G_H^a}{s-m_V^{a2}+im_V^a\Gamma_a} \\ &=\; e^2\left[\frac{2}{s}-\frac{\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta-c_\zeta\right)\left(-\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_Z^2+im_Z\Gamma_Z\right)}-\frac{\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta+s_\zeta\right)\left(\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+s_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_{Z'}^2+im_{Z'}\Gamma_{Z'}\right)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Here G_L^a, G_H^a are the couplings of the neutral gauge bosons with two leptons and two DCHs, respectively.

Similarly, in the case of $H_{2,3}^{\pm\pm}$ we have

$$\lambda_L^{H_{2,3}}=e^2\times\left[\frac{2}{s}+\frac{\left(c_\zeta+\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta+c_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_Z^2+im_Z\Gamma_Z\right)}+\frac{\left(\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-s_\zeta\right)\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta-s_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_{Z'}^2+im_{Z'}\Gamma_{Z'}\right)}\right] \tag{58}$$

and

$$\lambda_R^{H_{2,3}}=e^2\left[\frac{2}{s}-\frac{\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}s_\zeta+c_\zeta\right)\left(c_\zeta-\frac{3s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_Z^2+im_Z\Gamma_Z\right)}-\frac{\left(\frac{2t^2-3}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}c_\zeta-s_\zeta\right)\left(\frac{3c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+s_\zeta\right)}{12s_{\theta_W}^2\left(s-m_{Z'}^2+im_{Z'}\Gamma_{Z'}\right)}\right]. \tag{59}$$

The total cross section is

$$\sigma = \frac{s}{12\pi}\sqrt{1-\frac{4m^2_{H^{\pm\pm}}}{s}} \times \left(|\lambda_L|^2 + |\lambda_R|^2\right). \tag{60}$$

The above process happens only when $\sqrt{s} > 2m_{H^{\pm\pm}} > 400$ GeV from the prediction of the SUSYRM331.



FIG. 6: Plots of the production cross sections of the lightest DCH $H_3^{\pm\pm}$ as functions of m_{A_1} with different colliding energies. The values of m_V are $m_V = 2,\ 2.2,\ 2.5$ and 2.8 TeV represented by dotted, dot-dashed, dashed and black curves, respectively.

To determine the signals of the lightest DCH, we firstly investigate the dependence of the cross section of the process $e^+e^- \to H_3^{++}H_3^{--}$ on the fixed collision energies of 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 TeV, as shown in the figure 6. For $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV, with each fixed values of m_V there exists a very small range of m_{A_1} corresponding to the creation of $H_3^{\pm\pm}$. This is because of the fact that the small m_{A_1} will create the tachyon DCH while the large values will make masses of the DCHs be larger than the allowed kinetic condition. The cross section in this case can reach few pbs. For larger $\sqrt{s}$, the cross sections decrease but still reach $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ pb. One of the most important property of the lightest SUSYRM331 DCH is that its mass characterizes the difference between two parameters m_{A_1} and m_V. Hence the signal of DCH requires the

nearly degeneration between these two mass, $|m_{A_1} - m_V| < 100$ GeV when $\sqrt{s} \leq 1$ TeV. We also can see that the low colliding enegies give a rather large cross section for creating the lightest DCH.



FIG. 7: Total cross sections of creating three DCHs in e^+e^- colliders as functions of m_{A_1} in very high colliding energy of 5 TeV and $m_V = 2$ (2.5) TeV. We denote: the heaviest-dotted curves, the second heavy-dashed curves and the lightest-black curves.

The heavier DCHs may be created with very high collision energies, i.e higher than 4 TeV. For illustration, the figure 7 represents the total cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--})$ of three DCHs in the CM energy of $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV although it goes beyond the maximal energy that both ILC and CLIC can reach. Because all $m_{A_1}, m_{A_2}, m_V \gg m_W$, the cross sections of the DCHs depend weakly on the change of m_{A_1}. Apart from the $m_{H^{\pm\pm}_{2,3}}$, the m_{A_1} affects only the decay width of the $m_{Z'}$ which gives small contribution to the cross section in the limit of very large SUSY and $SU(3)_L$ scales. The value of $m_V = 2$ TeV gives $m_{H^{\pm\pm}_1} \simeq \sqrt{m^2_{A_2} + m^2_V} = \sqrt{s}$, leading to a rather small of cross section of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ pb (the dotted curve in the left-panel) and does not depend on m_{A_1}. While the value of $m_V = 2.5$ TeV gives $m_{H^{\pm\pm}_1} > \sqrt{s}$, the $H^{\pm\pm}_1$ cannot appear. For $H^{\pm\pm}_2$, as explained above, its mass is also independent with small m_{A_1}. Furthermore, all couplings and gauge boson masses relating with the cross sections are independent with the mentioned range of m_{A_1}. So the $\lambda^H_{L,R}$ shown in (56)-(59) will become constant too, giving the same property of the cross section of this DCH. But it is very sensitive with m_V. In particular, it can get value of 0.1 pb with $m_V = 2$ TeV but reduces to 0.03 pb $m_V = 2.5$ TeV. When $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV, the cross section of the lightest DCH is 0.1 pb for all masses satisfying the kinetic condition, rather smaller than other cases of $\sqrt{s} < 3$TeV.



FIG. 8: Contour plots of the mass and the production cross section of the lightest DCH in e^+e^- colliders as functions of m_V and m_{A_1} with different colliding energies of $1, 1.5, 2$ and 3 TeV. The mass and the cross section are represented by black and dotted curves, respectively.

The two figures 6 and 7 only help us see the maximal values of the cross sections for creation the DCHs. The above discussion does not include the lower bound on the lightest DCH mass. The figure 8 is used to estimate values of the cross sections $\sqrt{s} = 1 - 3$ TeV, including both condition of lower DCH mass bound and the allowed kinetic condition for creating heavy physical DCHs. All panels in both figures have same interesting properties. When a DCH mass approaches the limit of the kinetic allowed value, the corresponding cross section will decrease to zero. This explains why the contours of these two quantities almost overlap each other in the limits of $m_{H^{\pm\pm}} \to \sqrt{s}/2$ and cross section $\sigma \to 0$.

In the figure 8, the cross section can reach the value of few pbs with $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV if the lower bound of the DHC mass of 200 GeV is considered. In general the value of few pb can be reached for searching the very light DCH with mass below 250 GeV in colliding energies in range of 0.5-1 TeV. These values of the cross section are much smaller than the maximal value for $\sqrt{s} = 0.5$ TeV shown in the figure 6. And the region of the parameter



FIG. 9: Contour plots of production cross sections of DCHs in e^+e^- collider as functions of m_V and m_{A_1} at colliding energy of 5 TeV. The left panel focuses on the lightest, where the dotted and black curves describe the cross section and mass, respectively. The right panel represents the cross sections of the remain two DCHs, in particular the second heavy and the heaviest are described by dashed and dotted curves, respectively. In addition, dashed and black thick curves represent the maximal mass values of the DCHs allowed by the kinetic condition.

space allowed for the DCH appearance is very narrow, implying the degeneration of m_{A_1} and m_V. With $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 - 2$ TeV, the lightest DCH with mass 500 GeV $< m_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} <$ 750 GeV may be detected with the corresponding $\sigma > 0.4$ pb. More interesting, it can reach 1 pb, twice larger than the case of $\sqrt{s} = 1$ Tev, if the mass is around 500 GeV. For $\sqrt{s} = 3.0$, The cross sections for $m_{H_3^{\pm\pm}} > 0.5$ TeV is not larger than 0.3 pb. This value is close to the maximal value shown in the figure 6. From this we can conlude that the largest cross section for searching the lightest DCH with mass from 0.5 TeV to 0.75 TeV corresponds to the intermediate values of $\sqrt{s}$ from 1.5 TeV to 2 TeV.

The figure 9 shows the rather small cross sections of creating all DCHs, when $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV. For the lightest, the maximal is below 0.1 pb, while the two others the values is order of 10^{-2} pb.

All above numerical investigations show that the production cross sections of the lightest DCHs in e^+e^- colliders can be reach values of $10^{-1}-$ few pbs, depending on the DCH mass and the collision energy. This will be a good signal for the detection the lightest DCH in near future colliders [22–25]. In particularly, the ILC with the collision energy of 0.5-1 TeV corresponding to the integrated luminosity of $500 - 1000$ fb^{-1} [22, 23], the number of events for creation the lightest DCH will be around $5 \times 10^5 - 10^6$ corresponding to the DCH mass

range of 200-500 GeV. With the CLIC [24, 25] where the collision energy will increase up to 3 TeV or more, the lightest DCH may be observed with larger range of mass. Furthermore, the estimated integrated luminosity targets will be 1.5 ab^{-1} at 1.4 (1.5) TeV and 2 ab^{-1} at 3 TeV collision energy. The DCH with mass below 750 GeV gives the best signal with $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 - 2$ TeV, where the observed event numbers can reach $6 \times 10^5 - 1.5 \times 10^6$. With $s = 3$ TeV the maximal number of events reduces to 6×10^5. When the collision energy is high enough to create heavy DCH, the event numbers reduce to 10^4 corresponding to the luminosity of 1 ab^{-1}.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the Higgs sector of the SUSYRM331 model where the DCHs are specially concentrated on as one of signals to look for new physics at e^+e^- colliders. Here, masses of neutral CP-even Higgses, DCHs and the cross section of creating DCHs at e^+e^- colliders can be represented according to five unknown parameters: two masses m_{A_1,A_2} of neutral CP-odd Higgses characterizing the soft scale; mass of singly heavy gauge boson m_V-the $SU(3)_L$ breaking scale; both γ and β relating to the ratios of the VEVs. This choice of parameters helps us more easily to discuss on the relations among not only particle masses but also the breaking scales of the model. We have found the exact condition $\frac{\left(m^2_{A_1}+m^2_W\right)c_{2\gamma}}{m^2_V} < c_{2\beta} < \frac{m^2_W c_{2\gamma}}{m^2_V+m^2_{A_2}} < 0$, which must be satisfied to avoid tachyons of the DCHs at the tree level. The numerical investigation of the DCHs as functions of m_{A_1} and m_V shows that even with very large values of all m_{A_1}, m_{A_2} and m_V there may still exist a light DCH if value m_{A_1} is enough close to that of m_V, being consistent with the relation $0 < -c_{2\beta}\left[c_{2\beta}m^2_V - c_{2\gamma}(m^2_W + m^2_{A_1})\right] \sim \mathcal{O}(m^2_W)$ found by our analysis. The constraint on the decay $H^0_1 \to \gamma\gamma$ gives the lower bound on the mass of the DCH of about 200 GeV, the same as the experimental value given by CMS. Finally, we have investigated the possibility of creating the DCHs in e^+e^- colliders with collision energies from 1 to 3 TeV, then indicated that only the lightest DCH may be created. The production cross sections are from 0.1 pb to few pbs, depending on the mass range and the collison energy. Because the SUSYRM331 is valid in the limit of very large $SU(3)_L$ scale, two other DCHs always have masses above 2 TeV, therefore they do not appear unless the collision energies are higher than 4 TeV. Anyway, they will give small cross sections for all three DCHs, with order of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ pbs


A^a μ β e $-iG^a_L\bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}_\mu$ or $iG^a_L\sigma_{\mu\beta\dot{\alpha}}$ $\dot{\alpha}$ $e^\dagger$
A^a μ $\dot{\beta}$ $e^{c\dagger}$ $iG^a_R\bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}_\mu$ or $-iG^a_R\sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ α e^c
A^a μ H^{++} $\vec{k}_1$ $iG^a_H(k_2-k_1)_\mu$ H^{--} $\vec{k}_2$

FIG. 10: Feyman rules for interacting vertices of llA^a and $H^{++}H^{--}A^a$ where A^a is a physical neutral gauge boson.

for the two heavier DCHs and 0.1 pb for the lightest. The two heavy DCHs are difficult to observed in the LHC, ILC and CLIC. On the other hand, the lightest DCH, which only decays to same-sign pair of charged tauons, will give the most promising signal for searching it in e^+e^- colliders such as the ILC and CLIC. If it is detected, the numerical investigation in this work will give interesting informations of parameters such as m_{A_1} and m_V.

Acknowledgments

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 103.01-2014.69.

Appendix A: Cross section of $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$

Lagrangian for process $e^+e^- \to H^{++}H^{--}$ can be written in terms of two-component spinor

$$\mathcal{L}_{eeV^0} = -A^a_\mu \left(G^a_L e^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu e - G^a_R e^{c\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^\mu e^c\right) + \sum_{H=H^{\pm\pm}_{1,2,3}} G^a_H A^a_\mu \left(H^{++}\partial_\mu H^{--} - \text{H.c.}\right), \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

where $A^a_\mu = A_\mu,\ Z_\mu,\ Z'_\mu$, G^a_L and G^a_R are given in table I, G^a_H are given in table II. Feynman rules can be found in [96] for example, the detail shown in the figure 10. Denoting $k = k_2 - k_1$,

then the total amplitude for each DCH is written as

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M}_H &= -i\left(x_2^\dagger[\bar{\sigma}.k]x_1\right)\sum_a \frac{G_L^a G_H^a}{(p_1+p_2)^2 - m_V^{a2}} - i\left(y_2[\sigma.k]y_1^\dagger\right)\sum_a \frac{G_L^a G_H^a}{(p_1+p_2)^2 - m_V^{a2}} \\ &\equiv -i\left(x_2^\dagger[\bar{\sigma}.k]x_1\right)\lambda_L - i\left(y_2[\sigma.k]y_1^\dagger\right)\lambda_R, \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where $m_V^a = 0, m_Z, m_{Z'}$ corresponding to photon, Z, Z' bosons. Squaring the amplitude and summing over the electron and positron spins, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}\right|^2 &= \sum_{s_1,s_2} \mathcal{M}_H^\dagger \mathcal{M}_H \\ &= 2\left(|\lambda_L|^2 + |\lambda_R|^2\right)\left[2(p_1.k)(p_2.k) - (p_1.p_2)k^2\right] + 2\Re(\lambda_L^*\lambda_R)m_e^2 k^2. \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

Now we use the fact that $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = m_e^2 \simeq 0$, $k_1^2 = k_2^2 = m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2$. Furthermore, all terms in (A3) are invariant under the Lorentz transformation, so the result is unchanged when we use any particular frame. Here we use the center mass frame where the momenta of two initial particles are

$$p_{1\mu} = (E, 0, 0, E), \quad p_{2\mu} = (E, 0, 0, -E) \tag{A4}$$

with $E = E_{cm}/2 = \sqrt{s}/2$. We define two pour-momenta of final particles as $k_{1\mu} = (E_1, \vec{k}_1)$ and $k_{2\mu} = (E_2, \vec{k}_2)$. Using the condition of four-momentum conversation, it is easy to prove some following results

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 &= (k_1 - k_2)^2 = 4m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2 - s, \quad p_1.k = -p_2.k = \frac{s\cos\theta}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2}{s}} \\ p_1.p_2 &= \frac{s}{2} - m_e^2 \simeq \frac{s}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

Inserting all results (A5) into (A3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\overline{\mathcal{M}}\right|^2 &= -\left(|\lambda_L|^2 + |\lambda_R|^2\right)s^2\left(1 + \cos^2\theta\right) + 2\Re(\lambda_L^*\lambda_R)m_e^2\left(s - 4m_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2\right) \\ &\simeq -\left(|\lambda_L|^2 + |\lambda_R|^2\right)s^2\left(1 + \cos^2\theta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

Appendix B: Total width of the Z' gauge boson

For any particles ϕ (fermion, gauge boson, scalar) in the model, we define the corresponding covariant derivative relating with neutral gauge bosons as $D_\mu\phi \equiv \left(\partial_\mu - iq_\phi A_\mu - ig_Z^\phi Z_\mu - ig_{Z'}^\phi Z'_\mu\right)\phi$. The analytic forms of $g_{Z'}^\phi$ depend on the particular representation of ϕ. Specially, we have

- $SU(3)_L$ singlet

$$g^{\phi}_{Z'} = \frac{-gY_{\phi}c_{\zeta}t^2}{\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}$$

- $SU(3)_L$ triplet

$$g^{\phi}_{Z'} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -2\left(s_{\zeta} + \frac{Y_{\phi}t^2c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_{\zeta} - \frac{c_{\zeta}\left(2Y_{\phi}t^2+3\right)}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_{\zeta} + \frac{c_{\zeta}\left(-2Y_{\phi}t^2+3\right)}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $SU(3)_L$ anti-triplet

$$g^{\phi}_{Z'} = \frac{g}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\left(s_{\zeta} - \frac{Y_{\phi}t^2c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -s_{\zeta} - \frac{c_{\zeta}\left(2Y_{\phi}t^2-3\right)}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -s_{\zeta} - \frac{c_{\zeta}\left(2Y_{\phi}t^2+3\right)}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $SU(3)_L$ adjoint representation relates with gauge bosons and their superpartners only. The standard couplings of three gauge bosons can be written as $ig^V_{Z'}\left[g^{\mu\nu}(p-k_1)^{\sigma} + g^{\sigma\nu}(k_1-k_2)^{\mu} + g^{\mu\sigma}(k_2-p)^{\nu}\right]$, where $g^{VV'}_{Z'}$ shown in the table III. With gauginos, the vertices can also written in the form of $ig^{\tilde{V}}_{Z'}Z'_{\mu}\tilde{V}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\tilde{V}$, where $g^{\tilde{V}}_{Z'} = g^V_{Z'}$.

Gauge boson	$Z'W^+W^-$	$Z'U^{++}U^{--}$	$Z'V^+V^-$
$g^V_{Z'}$, $(g^{\tilde{V}}_{Z'})$	$\frac{g\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-s_{\zeta} + \frac{c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$	$-\frac{g\sqrt{3}c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}$	$-\frac{g\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(s_{\zeta} + \frac{c_{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$

TABLE III: Couplings of Z' gauge boson with two gauge bosons (two gauginos)

Below we will calculate the partial decay width of Z' into three different class of particles. Analytic formulas can be found in [95]. For the purpose of estimation the total width decays of Z' as simple as possible, we only consider the largest contribution to each class of particles. In addition, all particles as gauginos, sleptons, squarks receiving masses from the soft terms are very heavy so that Z' cannot decay into. We assume the similar situations for cases of exotic quarks. The decay of the Z' relating with these particles deserve a further detailed study. Here numerical values are used : $s_{\zeta} = 0.155$, $c_{\zeta} = 0.988$ corresponding to the definition (8) in the case $m_V \gg m_W$. The value of t follows the definition (9) with $s^2_W = 0.231$.

Vertex	factor	Vertex	factor
$Z'H_1^+H_1^-$	$\frac{-ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta+\frac{t^2c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$	$Z'H_2^+H_2^-$	$\frac{-ig}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta-\frac{t^2c_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$

TABLE IV: ZHH couplings

1. Decay of Z' to fermions pairs

This kind of decay involves with the below Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'ff}=\sum_f Z'^\mu\left(g^f_{Z'}f^\dagger\overline{\sigma}_\mu f+g^{f^c}_{Z'}f^{c\dagger}\overline{\sigma}_\mu f^c\right)=\sum_f Z'^\mu\left(g^f_{Z'}f^\dagger\overline{\sigma}_\mu f-g^{f^c}_{Z'}f^c\sigma_\mu f^{c\dagger}\right), \tag{B1}$$

where sum is over all fermions in the model that couple with Z' and satisfy the kinetic condition $m_{Z'}>2m_f$. Formulas of $g^f_{Z'}$ and $g^{f^c}_{Z'}$ were shown in the table I. The partial decay width corresponding to each fermion is [96],

$$\Gamma(Z'\to ff^+)=\frac{N_c^f m_{Z'}}{24\pi}\left(1-\frac{4m_f^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right)^{1/2}\left[\left(|g^f_{Z'}|^2+|g^{f^c}_{Z'}|^2\right)\left(1-\frac{m_f^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right)-6g^f_{Z'}g^{f^c}_{Z'}\frac{m_f^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right], \tag{B2}$$

where N_c^f is the color factor being equal to 3 for quarks and 1 for all other fermions (leptons, quarks, Higgsinos and gauginos).

2. Decay of Z' to scalar pairs

Lagrangian relating with these decay is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'S_iS_i}=\sum_{S_i,S_j} ig^{S_{ij}}_{Z'}Z'_\mu\left[S_i^\dagger\partial^\mu S_j-(\partial^\mu S_i^\dagger)S_i\right], \tag{B3}$$

where S_i stands for any scalars in the model . The Feynman rule is the same as the DCH shown in the figure 10, where $G^a_H\to ig^{S_{ij}}_{Z'}$. Non-zero values of $g^{S_{ij}}_{Z'}$ for Higgses in the model are shown in the figure IV.

If the momentum of the Z' boson is p_μ then we have $p^2=m^2_{Z'}$ and $p=k_1+k_2$. The amplitude of the decay is

$$i\mathcal{M}(Z'\to S_iS_j)=-g^{S_{ij}}_{Z'}\left(k_2-k_1\right).\varepsilon$$

with $\varepsilon_\mu=\varepsilon_\mu(p,\lambda_{Z'})$ being the polarization vector of the Z'.

Averaging over the Z' polarization using

$$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{\lambda_{Z'}}\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu^* = \frac{1}{3}\left(-g_{\mu\nu}+\frac{p_\mu p_\mu}{m_{Z'}^2}\right) \tag{B4}$$

we obtain the squared amplitude

$$\frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{M}(Z'\to S_iS_j)|^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left|g_{Z'}^{S_{ij}}\right|^2\left[-(k_1-k_2)^2+\frac{\left(k_1^2-k_2^2\right)^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right]. \tag{B5}$$

Noting that $k_1^2=m_{S_i}^2,\ k_2^2=m_{S_j}^2$ and $(k_1-k_2)^2=2\left(m_{S_i}^2+m_{S_j}^2\right)-m_{Z'}^2$ we have formula of $\Gamma(Z'\to SS)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(Z'\to S_iS_j) &= \frac{1}{16\pi m_{Z'}}\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{m_{S_i}^2+m_{S_j}^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right)^2-\frac{4m_{S_i}^2m_{S_j}^2}{m_{Z'}^4}}\times\frac{1}{3}|\mathcal{M}(Z'\to S_iS_j)|^2\\ &= \frac{\left|g_{Z'}^{S_{ij}}\right|^2 m_{Z'}}{48\pi}\times\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{m_{S_i}^2+m_{S_j}^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right)^2-\frac{4m_{S_i}^2m_{S_j}^2}{m_{Z'}^4}}\\ &\times\left[1-\frac{2(m_{S_i}^2+m_{S_j}^2)}{m_{Z'}^2}+\frac{\left(m_{S_i}^2-m_{S_j}^2\right)^2}{m_{Z'}^4}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

for two distinguishable final states. For identical final states, there need an extra factor $1/2$ to avoid counting each final state twice [97]. Therefore, if $S_i\equiv S_j\to S$, then $m_{S_i}=m_{S_j}=m_S$ and denoting $g_{Z'}^{S_{ij}}=g_{Z'}^S$ we have more simple formula:

$$\Gamma(Z'\to SS)=\frac{|g_{Z'}^S|^2 m_{Z'}}{96\pi}\left[1-\frac{4m_S^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right]^{5/2}. \tag{B7}$$

It is noted that $|g_{Z'}^S|^2\sim g^2/12\times\mathcal{O}(1)$, as shown in table II for DCHs. This means that

$$\Gamma(Z'\to SS)\sim\frac{g^2 m_{Z'}}{576\pi}\times\mathcal{O}(1)\ll\Gamma(Z'\to ff). \tag{B8}$$

3. Decay of Z' to one gauge boson and one scalar

This case happens only with scalars that inherit non-zero VEVs values, i.e, neutral Higgses in the model. Detailed investigation shows that possible vertices are $Z'H^{++}U^{--}$, $Z'H^{--}U^{++}$ and $Z'ZH^0$. This part of Lagrangian has form $g_{Z'}^{SV}Z'_\mu V^\mu S$. Vertex factors are represented in Table V. The partial decay width for this case is

Vertex	factor	Vertex	factor
$Z'U^{\pm\pm}\rho^{\mp\mp}$	$\frac{igs_\gamma m_W}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta - \frac{2c_\zeta t^2}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$	$Z'U^{\pm\pm}\rho'^{\mp\mp}$	$\frac{igc_\gamma m_W}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta - \frac{2c_\zeta t^2}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$
$Z'U^{\pm\pm}\chi^{\mp\mp}$	$\frac{igs_\beta m_V}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta + \frac{2c_\zeta t^2}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$	$Z'U^{\pm\pm}\chi'^{\mp\mp}$	$\frac{igm_V c_\beta}{\sqrt{3}}\left(s_\zeta + \frac{2c_\zeta t^2}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}\right)$
$Z'ZH_\rho$	$\frac{2igc_{2\zeta}s_\gamma\sqrt{2t^2+3}m_V^2 m_W}{3(m_V^2+m_W^2)}$	$Z'ZH_{\rho'}$	$\frac{2igc_{2\zeta}c_\gamma\sqrt{2t^2+3}m_V^2 m_W}{3(m_V^2+m_W^2)}$
$Z'ZH_\chi$	$-\frac{2igc_{2\zeta}s_\beta\sqrt{2t^2+3}m_V m_W^2}{3(m_V^2+m_W^2)}$	$Z'ZH_{\chi'}$	$-\frac{2igc_{2\zeta}c_\beta\sqrt{2t^2+3}m_V m_W^2}{3(m_V^2+m_W^2)}$

TABLE V: Coupling of $Z'HV$

$$\Gamma(Z' \to SV) = \frac{\left|g_{Z'}^{SV}\right|^2}{48\pi m_{Z'}} \times \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{m_V^2 + m_S^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right)^2 - \frac{4m_V^2 m_S^2}{m_{Z'}^4}} \times \left[2 + \frac{\left(m_{Z'}^2 + m_V^2 - m_S^2\right)^2}{4m_V^2 m_{Z'}^2}\right]. \tag{B9}$$

We can estimate the largest contributions to $\Gamma(Z' \to SV)$ are from the χ and χ', namely $\Gamma(Z' \to SV) = 0.06 g^2 m_{Z'} \times \mathcal{O}(1)$.

4. Decay of Z' to gauge boson pairs

The possible decays are $Z' \to WW, VV, UU$ with the respective couplings shown in the table III. The general vertex factor is $ig_{Z'}^X\left[g^{\mu\nu}(p-k_1)^\sigma + g^{\sigma\nu}(k_1-k_2)^\mu + g^{\mu\sigma}(k_2-p)^\nu\right]$, where $X = W,\ U$ or V gauge bosons. The partial decay for each particle can be written by

$$\Gamma(Z' \to XX) = \frac{\left|g_{Z'}^X\right|^2 m_{Z'}}{192\pi}\left[1 - \frac{4m_X^2}{m_{Z'}^2}\right]^{3/2} \frac{m_{Z'}^4 + 12m_X^4 + 20m_X^2 m_{Z'}^2}{m_X^4}. \tag{B10}$$

From the mass spectrum of gauge bosons given in appendix II B, we can see that in case of $m_W \ll m_V$ we have $m_{Z'}^2 \simeq \frac{2(t^2+2)}{3}m_U^2$ and $m_U^2 \simeq m_V^2$. Furthermore, from the definition of ζ in 8 we can see that in the limit of $m_W/m_V \to 0$ we get $g_{Z'}^W \to 0$. More exactly if $m_W^2/m_V = \epsilon \ll 1$ then $g_{Z'}^W \simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}g(t^2+1)^2}{\sqrt{2}(t^2+2)^{3/2}} \times \frac{m_W^2}{m_V^2}$, leading to the result that $\Gamma(Z' \to W^+W^-) \simeq \frac{g^2 m_{Z'}(1+t^2)^2}{648\pi(t^2+2)}$. It is noted that in this case $c_\zeta \simeq 0.988$ and $s_\zeta \simeq 0.155$.

Appendix C: Coupling of doubly charged Higgs

Three-vertex coupling shown in table VI

Four coupling vertices are listed in table VII.

Vertex	factor	Vertex	factor
$\rho^{++}\rho^{--}Z^{\mu}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right](p+p')_\mu$	$\rho'^{++}\rho'^{--}Z^{\mu}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right](p+p')_\mu$
$\chi^{++}\chi^{--}Z^{\mu}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right](p+p')_\mu$	$\chi'^{++}\chi'^{--}Z^{\mu}$	$-\frac{ig}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right](p+p')_\mu$
$\rho^{++}U^{--\mu}H_\rho$	$\frac{ig}{2}(p+p')_\mu$	$\rho^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{A_1}$	$\frac{gc_\gamma}{2}(p+p')_\mu$
$\rho^{++}V^{-\mu}H_1^-$	$-\frac{igc_\gamma}{\sqrt{2}}(p+p')_\mu$	$\rho'^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{\rho'}$	$-\frac{ig}{2}(p+p')_\mu$
$\rho'^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{A_1}$	$\frac{-gs_\gamma}{2}(p+p')_\mu$	$\rho'^{++}V^{-\mu}H_1^-$	$\frac{igs_\gamma}{\sqrt{2}}(p+p')_\mu$
$\chi^{++}U^{--\mu}H_\chi$	$-\frac{ig}{2}(p+p')_\mu$	$\chi^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{A_2}$	$\frac{gc_\beta}{2}(p+p')_\mu$
$\chi^{++}W^{-\mu}H_2^-$	$\frac{igc_\beta}{\sqrt{2}}(p+p')_\mu$	$\chi'^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{\chi'}$	$-\frac{ig}{2}(p+p')_\mu$
$\chi'^{++}U^{--\mu}H_{A_2}$	$-\frac{gs_\beta}{2}(p+p')_\mu$	$\chi'^{++}W^{-\mu}H_2^-$	$-\frac{igs_\beta}{\sqrt{2}}(p+p')_\mu$
$\rho'^{--}l_i^c l_i^c$	$\frac{igm_l}{\sqrt{2}m_W c_\gamma c_\beta}$	$\chi'^{++}ll$	$\frac{igm_l}{\sqrt{2}m_V c_\gamma c_\beta}$

TABLE VI: Three-vertex coupling of doubly charged Higgses

[1] The ATLAS Collaboration, Phys.Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012), arXiv:1207.7214.

[2] The CMS Collaboration, G. Aad et al, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012) , arXiv:1207.7235.

[3] CMS Collaboration, Eur.Phys.J. C74, 3076 (2014).

[4] CMS Collaboration, Eur.Phys.J. C75, 212 (2015).

[5] R. N. Mohopatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2558 (1975).

[6] G. Senjanovic and R.N. Mohopatra, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 1502 (1975).

[7] G. Senjanovic, Nucl. Phys. B **153**, 334 (1979).

[8] A. Zee, Nucl.Phys. B **264**, 99 (1986).

[9] K. S. Babu, Phys. Lett. B **203**, 132 (1988) .

[10] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 410 (1992).

[11] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4158 (1993).

[12] J. G. Ferreira, Jr, P. R. D. Pinheiro, C. A. de S. Pires and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 095019 (2011).

[13] P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889 (1992).

[14] R. Kuchimanchi and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4352 (1993).

[15] C. S. Aulakh, A. Melfo and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4174 (1998).

[16] C. S. Aulakh, A. Melfo, A. Rasin and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 115007 (1998).

Vertex	factor	Vertex	factor
$\rho^{++}\rho^{--}A^\mu A_\mu$	$4ie^2$	$\rho'^{++}\rho'^{--}A^\mu A_\mu$	$4ie^2$
$\chi^{++}\chi^{--}A^\mu A_\mu$	$4ie^2$	$\chi'^{++}\chi'^{--}A^\mu A_\mu$	$4ie^2$
$\rho^{++}\rho^{--}A^\mu Z_\mu$	$\frac{-i\sqrt{2}g^2t}{\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$	$\rho'^{++}\rho'^{--}A^\mu Z_\mu$	$\frac{-i\sqrt{2}g^2t}{\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$
$\chi^{++}\chi^{--}A^\mu Z_\mu$	$\frac{-i\sqrt{2}g^2t}{\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$	$\chi'^{++}\chi'^{--}A^\mu Z_\mu$	$\frac{-i\sqrt{2}g^2t}{\sqrt{3(2t^2+3)}}\left[\frac{(2t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$
$\rho^{++}H_\rho U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{ie^2}{s_W}$	$\rho'^{++}H_{\rho'}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{ie^2}{s_W}$
$\chi^{++}H_\chi U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{ie^2}{s_W}$	$\chi'^{++}H_{\chi'}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{ie^2}{s_W}$
$\rho^{++}H_\rho U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$	$\rho'^{++}H_{\rho'}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$
$\chi^{++}H_\chi U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$	$\chi'^{++}H_{\chi'}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$
$\rho^{++}H_\rho V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$\rho'^{++}H_{\rho'}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{2}}$
$\chi^{++}H_\chi V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$\chi'^{++}H_{\chi'}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{ig^2}{2\sqrt{2}}$
$\rho^{++}H_{A_1}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{e^2c_\gamma}{s_W}$	$\rho'^{++}H_{A_1}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{-e^2s_\gamma}{s_W}$
$\chi^{++}H_{A_2}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{e^2c_\beta}{s_W}$	$\chi'^{++}H_{A_2}U^{--\mu}A_\mu$	$-\frac{e^2s_\beta}{s_W}$
$\rho^{++}H_{A_1}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{g^2c_\gamma}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$	$\rho'^{++}H_{A_1}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{g^2s_\gamma}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$
$\chi^{++}H_{A_2}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{g^2c_\beta}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$	$\chi'^{++}H_{A_2}U^{--\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{g^2s_\beta}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\frac{2t^2s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$
$\rho^{++}H_{A_1}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{g^2c_\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$\rho'^{++}H_{A_1}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{-g^2s_\gamma}{2\sqrt{2}}$
$\chi^{++}H_{A_2}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{-g^2c_\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$\chi'^{++}H_{A_2}V^{-\mu}W_\mu^-$	$\frac{g^2s_\beta}{2\sqrt{2}}$
$\rho^{++}H_1^-V^{-\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{-3ie^2c_\gamma}{\sqrt{2}s_W}$	$\rho'^{++}H_1^-V^{-\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{3ie^2s_\gamma}{\sqrt{2}s_W}$
$\chi^{++}H_2^-W^{-\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{3ie^2c_\beta}{\sqrt{2}s_W}$	$\chi'^{++}H_2^-W^{-\mu}A_\mu$	$\frac{-3ie^2s_\beta}{\sqrt{2}s_W}$
$\rho^{++}H_1^-U^{--\mu}W_\mu^+$	$\frac{-ig^2c_\gamma}{2}$	$\rho'^{++}H_1^-U^{--\mu}W_\mu^+$	$\frac{ig^2s_\gamma}{2}$
$\chi^{++}H_2^-U^{--\mu}V_\mu^+$	$\frac{-ig^2c_\beta}{2}$	$\chi'^{++}H_2^-U^{--\mu}V_\mu^+$	$\frac{ig^2s_\beta}{2}$
$\rho^{++}H_1^-V^{-\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{ig^2c_\gamma}{2\sqrt{6}}\left[\frac{(4t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$	$\rho'^{++}H_1^-V^{-\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{ig^2s_\gamma}{2\sqrt{6}}\left[\frac{(4t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}-c_\zeta\right]$
$\chi^{++}H_1^-W^{-\mu}Z_\mu$	$\frac{ig^2c_\beta}{2\sqrt{6}}\left[\frac{(4t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$	$\chi'^{++}H_1^-W^{-\mu}Z_\mu$	$-\frac{ig^2s_\beta}{2\sqrt{6}}\left[\frac{(4t^2-3)s_\zeta}{\sqrt{2t^2+3}}+c_\zeta\right]$

TABLE VII: Four-vertex coupling of DCHs

[17] Z. Chacko and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 015003 (1998).

[18] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 404 (2008).

[19] J. C. Montero, V. Pleitez and M. C. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 035006 (2002), hep-ph/0012178.

[20] D. T. Huong, L. T. Hue, M. C. Rodriguez, H. N. Long, Nucl. Phys. B **870**, 293 (2013).

[21] J. G. Ferreira, C. A. de S. Pires, P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, A. Sampieri, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 105013 (2013), arXiv: hep-ph/1308.0575.

[22] T. Behnke et al., *"The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 1: Executive Summary"*, arXiv: 1306.6327.

[23] H. Baer et al., *"The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 2: Physics"*, arXiV: 1306.6352.

[24] CLIC Physics Working Group Collaboration (E. Accomando et al.), "*Physics at the CLIC multi-TeV linear collider* ", arXiv/hep-ph:0412251.

[25] Physics and Detectors at CLIC: CLIC Conceptual Design Report edited by L. Linssen, A. Miyamoto, M. Stanitzki, H. Weerts, CERN-2012-003.

[26] CMS Collaboration, Eur.Phys.J. C **72**, 2189 (2012).

[27] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur.Phys.J. C **72**, 2244 (2012).

[28] ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP 1503, 041 (2015).

[29] J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).

[30] K. Huitu, J. Maalampi, A. Pietila, M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B **487**, 27 (1997).

[31] J. F. Gunion, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11**, 1551 (1996).

[32] K. S. Babu, A. Patra, S. K. Rai, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 055006 (2013).

[33] J. E. C. Montalvo, N. V. Cortez, M. D. Tonasse, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 116003 (2008).

[34] J.E.C. Montalvo, G.H.R Ulloa, M.D. Tonasse, Eur.Phys.J. C **72**, 2210 (2012).

[35] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, H. Yokoya, K. Yagyu, PTEP 2015 5, 051B02 (2015).

[36] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Yagyu, H. Yokoya, Phys.Rev. D90, 115018, (2014)

[37] C. H. Chen, T. Nomura, Phys.Rev. D91, 035023 (2015).

[38] D. T. Binh, L. T. Hue, D. T. Huong, H. N. Long, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2851 (2014) , arXiv: hep-ph/1308.3085.

[39] P.V. Dong, T. D. Tham, and H. T. Hung, Phys. Rev. D 87, 115003 (2013).

[40] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, Farinaldo S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, Phys. Rev. D 90, 075021 (2014).

[41] D. T. Huong, P. V. Dong, C. S. Kim, N. T. Thuy, Phys. Rev. D 91, 055023 (2015).

[42] D. T. Huong, H. N. Long, J.Phys.G38, 015202,(2011).

[43] Do T. Huong, Hoang N. Long, Phys.Atom.Nucl.73, 791 (2010).

[44] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 738 (1980).

[45] R. Foot, H. N. Long and Tuan A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **50**, R34 (1994), arXiv: 9402243 [hep-ph].

[46] J. C. Montero, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2918 (1993).

[47] H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4691 (1996).

[48] H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 437 (1996).

[49] H. N. Long, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 1865 (1998), [arXiv: hep-ph/9711204].

[50] F. Pisano, Mod. Phys. Lett A **11**, 2639 (1996).

[51] A. Doff and F. Pisano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 1133 (1999).

[52] C. A. de S. Pires and O. P. Ravinez, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 035008 (1998).

[53] C. A. de S. Pires, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 075013 (1999).

[54] P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 6677 (2006).

[55] P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, D. T. Nhung, D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 035004 (2006).

[56] P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, Adv. High Energy Phys., **2008**, 739492 (2008).

[57] W. Ponce, Y. Giraldo, L. A. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 075001 (2003).

[58] V.T. N. Huyen, T. T. Lam, H. N. Long, V. Q. Phong, "Neutral currents in reduced minimal 3-3-1 model", Arxiv: hep/ph 1210.5833.

[59] Alex G. Dias, R. Martinez, V. Pleitez, Eur.Phys.J. C **39**, 101 (2005).

[60] A. G. Dias, V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 056007 (2009).

[61] A. J. Buras, F. D. Fazio, J. Girrbach-Noe, M. V. Carlucci, JHEP 1402, 112 (2014).

[62] P.V. Dong, D.T. Si, Phys.Rev. D90 , 117703 (2014).

[63] M.E. Peskin, T. Takeuchi, Phys.Rev.Lett. **65**, 964 (1990).

[64] M.E. Peskin, T. Takeuchi, Phys.Rev. D**46**, 381 (1992)

[65] M. Drees, K. Hagiwara, Phys.Rev. D**42**, 1709 (1990)

[66] J. C. Montero, C. A. de S. Pires, V. Pleitez, Phys.Rev. D **66**, 113003 (2002).

[67] C. M. Maekawa, M. C. Rodriguez, JHEP **0604**, 031 (2006).

[68] J. C. Montero, V. Pleitez, M. C. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 095008 (2002).

[69] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, M. C. Rodriguez and H. N. Long, Nucl. Phys. B **772**, 150 (2007).

[70] P. V. Dong, Tr. T. Huong, N. T. Thuy and H. N. Long, JHEP**11**, 073 (2007).

[71] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, N. T. Thuy and H. N. Long, Nucl. Phys. B**795**, 361 (2008).

[72] D. T. Huong and H. N. Long, JHEP **07**, 049 (2008).

[73] D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4805 (1994).

[74] D. Cogollo, Farinaldo S. Queiroz, P. Vasconcelos, Mod.Phys.Lett. A **29**, 1450173 (2014).

[75] C. Kelso, P. R. D. Pinheiro, F. S. Queiroz, W. Shepherd, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2808 (2014), arXiv/hep-ph: 1312.0051.

[76] D. G. Dumm, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **09**, 1609 (1994).

[77] H. N. Long, V. T. Van, J. Phys. G **25**, 2319 (1999).

[78] A. C. Machado, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleiez, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 113002 (2013).

[79] P.H. Frampton, M. Harada, Phys.Rev. D**58**, 095013 (1998).

[80] H.N. Long, T. Inami, Phys.Rev. D**61**, 075002 (2000).

[81] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chinese Physics C38, 090001 (2014).

[82] A. Djouadi, Phys.Rept. 459, 1 (2008).

[83] LHCb Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett. 111, 191801 (2013).

[84] F. Richard, "*A Z-prime interpretation of* $B_d \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ *data and consequences for high energy colliders*", e-Print: arXiv:1312.2467 [hep-ph].

[85] R. Gauld, F. Goertz, U. Haisch, JHEP 1401, 069 (2014).

[86] M. C. Rodriguez, J. Mod. Phys. **2**, 1193 (2011).

[87] L. Girardello and M. T. Grisaru, Nucl. Phys. B **194**, 65 (1982).

[88] R. Barate et al. [LEP Working Group for Higgs boson searches and ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL Collaborations], Phys. Lett. B **565**, 61 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0306033].

[89] B. Dutta, R. Eusebi, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, T. Kamon, Phys.Rev. D90, 055015 (2014).

[90] G. Bambhaniya, J. Chakrabortty, J. Gluza, M. Kordiaczyńska, R. Szafron, JHEP 1405, 033 (2014).

[91] M. Flechl, "Higgs physics: Review of recent results and prospects from ATLAS and CMS", e-Print: arXiv:1503.00632 [hep-ex].

[92] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys.Rev. D90, 112015 (2014).

[93] W. Caetano, C. A. de S. Pires, P. S. R. da Silva, D. Cogollo, F. S. Queiroz, Eur.Phys.J. C73, 2607 (2013).

[94] A. Djouadi, Phys.Rept. 457, 1 (2008).

[95] M. Krauß, " LHC Phenomenology of a Z' decaying into supersymmetric particles" (Master Thesis (2012)).

[96] H. K. Dreiner, H. E. Haber, S. P. Martin, Phys. Rept. **494**, 1 (2010).

[97] S. P. Martin, "*Phenomenology of Particle Physics*" (NIU Spring 2002 PHYS586 Lecture Notes).

[98] Note that the well-known β in the MSSM is different from our definition of β in (23). In fact, the γ parameter in (23) plays the same role as the β in the MSSM


$\rho\times10^{4}$ susysusy
$\Delta\rho=6\times10^{-4}$
6.4
6.4
1.6
4
1.6
4
1.0
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0.0
|cos2β|
4000
5000
6000
7000
8000
9000
10 000
u[GeV]